

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_129985
Title of the Manuscript:	Severe Tricuspid Valve Regurgitation Due to Chordal Rupture Following Blunt Chest Trauma: a case report
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is valuable in highlighting the importance of a thorough examination in patients who have undergone blunt chest trauma. It further emphasizes how deceiving initial presentation of patients might be and how minor symptoms are often overlooked. The scientific community can further gain insights into the management of the complications of blunt chest trauma.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive but must be divided into sections according to the Author guidelines: <i>Aims, Presentation of Case, Discussion and Conclusion.</i> Refer to my comments.	
Is the manuscript scientifically correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are entirely incorrect and have been generated using AI, which is unacceptable. The references must be redone. Refer to my comments.	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, but with numerous grammatical errors that must be corrected prior to publication</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Headings: Abstract, Introduction, Discussion, etc need to be numbered. Please refer to Author guidelines. 2. Manuscript is missing the following divisions: <i>Competing Interests, Authors' Contributions, Ethical approval.</i> 3. Subdivisions used under the Discussion section, must be numbered as well. E.g.: 3.1, 3.2, etc. Refer guidelines. 4. The heading 'References' has been misspelled with the use of 'é' instead of 'e'. 5. Abstract must be formatted into sections according the guidelines: <i>Aims, Presentation of Case, Discussion and Conclusion.</i> 6. The last line of the abstract: "The incidence has increased significantly due to the high rate of road accidents and diagnostic progress, Despite this increase, these injuries continues to be underdiagnosed, which affects the timing and quality of results in this condition." has grammatical issues with regards to punctuations, capitalizations, and singularity/plurality. Kindly rectify. 7. Under introduction, "There is currently no consensus on the management of TTI. Although surgery has been widely adopted as one of the main treatment options.", must be one sentence, and not broken up into two sentences. 8. The entire introduction is broken down into way too many paragraphs unnecessarily, kindly combine it into one para. 9. Under case presentation, "...following a body scan performed...", "A body scan revealed bilateral..."; what scan was performed? Please mention whether CT or FAST or whatever was used exactly as just the words 'body scan' is very vague and can have multiple meanings. 10. "Minimal pericardial effusion was again noted" This sentence is missing a full stop at the end. 11. The caption of Figure 2: "...with the anterior leaflets of the tricuspid valve turning over into the left atrium during systole (arrow), along with significant"; was the leaflets of the tricuspid valve turning into the left atrium or the RIGHT atrium??? And secondly, the sentence is incomplete as it abruptly ends with the word 'significant'. 12. Citation [1,4] must come prior to the full stop. 13. Referencing in text must be in ascending numerical order. Citation 4 has been cited before citation 3 which is incorrect. Rectify all reference numbers. 14. "Myocardial contusions, one of the more frequently reported injuries, may present with signs of left ventricular dysfunction [3]." is unnecessarily placed into a separate para. Combine it with the first line of the next para as such: "Myocardial contusions, one of the more frequently reported injuries, may present with signs of left ventricular dysfunction [3], which was observed in our patient" 15. "However, Performing TTE on patients" : remove the capitalization of 'P' 16. "In our case, the patient initially had no symptoms directly suggestive of cardiac injury, and the diagnosis was missed initially.": too many uses of the word 'initially'. Omit one or use a synonym. 17. The abbreviation of TTI has already been established under the Introduction section. Establishing it again under Discussion (Surgical management subheading) is repetitive and must be avoided. 18. In the last line of discussion, "The post-operative results were favorable, demonstrating the effectiveness of timely surgical intervention in preventing long-term complications and preserving cardiac function." What do you mean by 'the post-operative results were favorable', if the patient refused surgery and no surgery was even performed??? 19. Conclusion has each sentence as a new para. Please combine it all into a single paragraph. 	

Review Form 3

	<p>20. Consent must be written as mentioned in guidelines: <u>“All authors declare that ‘written informed consent was obtained from the patient (or other approved parties) for publication of this case report and accompanying images. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the Editorial office/Chief Editor/Editorial Board members of this journal.’”</u></p> <p>21. Reference 1 author names are entirely incorrect. The authors' names of the referenced article are: “Lin SJ, Chen CW, Chou CJ, Liu KT, Su HM, Lin TH, Voon WC, Lai WT, Sheu SH”. Please recheck carefully !!</p> <p>22. Reference 2, 3, 4, and every other reference mentioned has the same error with random names written down! Where has the author of this manuscript come up with these random author names?? The blatant use of AI to generate references is clearly evident. A sincere request to refrain from this, and to recheck the references used accurately!</p> <p>23. Kindly check referencing style mentioned in author guidelines as they have been changed starting Jan 1 , 2025 – example published on journal website: “For Published paper: 1. Hilly, M., Adams, M. L., & Nelson, S. C. (2002). A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clinical and Experimental Allergy, 32(4), 489-498.”</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yash Sailesh Kumar
Department, University & Country	Tbilisi State Medical University, United States of America