

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_129898
Title of the Manuscript:	Rare ECG Dynamics in Acute Coronary syndrome: Co-occurrence of De Winter and Wellens' Signs in proximal LAD occlusion
Type of the Article	Case report
Date of submission	January 29, 2025

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1. The de Winter pattern is seen in ~2% of acute LAD occlusions and is often under-recognized by clinicians. Key diagnostic features include ST depression and peaked T waves in the precordial leads. The de Winter ECG pattern is an anterior STEMI equivalent that presents without obvious ST-segment elevation. Wellens sign is a clinical syndrome characterized by biphasic or deeply inverted T waves in V2-3, plus a history of recent chest pain now resolved. It is peculiar for critical stenosis of the left anterior descending artery (LAD).	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	2. No. A. The manuscript content is not parallel with the "Title". Please, see below (issue 4) .	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	3. No. A. The "Abstract" was badly written . B. Organization of the "Abstract" into the subheadings (Introduction, Case presentation, and Conclusion) is advisable. Reconstruction of the "Abstract" is essential. C. The references citation in the "Abstract" is unsuitable . Please, delete it .	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	4. No. A. The diagnosis of de Winter's pattern in Figure 1 is incorrect . There is no de Winter's pattern in this ECG. B. Where is the " Conclusion "?! C. So, reconstruction of the article is essential.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	5. No. A. The number of references is a few. B. The references citation in the "Abstract" is unsuitable . Please, delete it .	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	6. There are several " Grammatical " mistakes. So, corrections and language editing are essential.	
Optional/General comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yasser Mohammed Hassanain Elsayed
Department, University & Country	Egypt