

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Soil Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_127507
Title of the Manuscript:	Combination nutrient management for sustainable economically effect on onion (Allium cepa L.) seed production at Maitsebri, Northern, Ethiopia
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientifically work is very good and has information for research community at ground level.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, Abstract section Background information is missing.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, but it's not proper sequence. Need to re-arrange	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Poor, Many grammatical error observed.	
Optional/General comments	<p>Abstract section</p> <p>Background information is missing.</p> <p>Introduction section</p> <p>There is lack of information continuity, authors has to make proper paragraph with relevant successive information flow. There is lot of grammatical mistake. Only related and latest reference should be provided</p> <p>Material and methods section</p> <p>Authors has to provide sub-heading about experiment as per design</p> <p>3. Data collection methods or steps should be mentioned i material and method section</p> <p>Speed of seed germination formula is not a clear /visible</p> <p>Result section</p> <p>Result output should be written in sequence. Authors have made small small paragraph for same matter/information.</p> <p>Discussion part should be strengthen.</p> <p>CONCLUSION Section</p> <p>No need to write the result data only major out should be mentioned. Same information are mentioned in abstract as well.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ramesh Kumar Kushwaha
Department, University & Country	REVA University, India