

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_130766
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF SPACING AND PLANTING METHOD ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF RADISH (Raphanus sativus) UNDER CONTRASTING CONDITIONS OF DRY AND WET SEASONS
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<div>1. Instead of writing only <i>Raphanus sativus</i>, write <i>Raphanus sativus</i> L</div> <div>2. In line 5, you wrote "rainy and wet season." Do you mean to say "dry and wet season"? If so, please specify the month of sowing for more clarity.</div> <div>3. It is better to write "root/root tuber" rather than just "tuber," as "tuber" is generally used to refer to a modified form of stem, like in the case of potato.</div> <div>4. In the sentence, "It is a good source of antioxidants, antimicrobial, antibacterial activity to the body which ends in promoting overall health," do you mean "aids" instead of "ends"?</div> <div>5. Chaudhari et al. (2022) observed greatest growth and yield of Radish at 20cm Greatest growth and were also obtained by Parajuli et al, (2023).In this sentence Instead of writing greatest use maximum. Read the sentence carefully and make necessary changes. The revised sentence: Chaudhari et al. (2022) observed maximum growth and yield of radish (<i>Raphanus sativus</i> L.) at 20 cm spacing, which was further supported by the findings of Parajuli et al. (2023), who also reported maximum growth and yield under similar conditions.</div> <div>6. There is paucity of information about spacing and plant method. In this sentence do you mean planting methods</div> <div>7. You wrote earthen up: Do you mean "earthing up"? If so, the revised sentence</div> <div>8. You wrote harvesting was done manually after the tubers matured. Please specify how many days after sowing the roots were harvested.</div> <div>9. You wrote highest number of number of leaves. It seems like the sentence has a repetition. Read carefully and rectify.</div> <div>10. whereas transplanted plants revealed wider canopy spread at the spacing of 15cm and 20cm under wet season. The correct phrase is "in the wet season. Here's the final version: Whereas transplanted plants revealed a wider canopy spread at the spacing of 15 cm and 20 cm in the wet season."write in wet season instead of under season</div>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Swarajya Laxmi Nayak
Department, University & Country	ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, India