

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_130649
Title of the Manuscript:	Relative study of Sensory Quality and Physico chemical Properties of Shrikhand Prepared from cow and goat milk
Type of the Article	Research

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It’s a good for new milk-based food products,	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Physico chemical and sensory evaluation of Shrikhand Prepared from cow and goat milk	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Rewrite the abstract, lot of grammatical mistakes, in abstract first write a physico chemical analysis result and at last write sensory results	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Use to recent references	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality is good, but grammatical mistakes	
Optional/General comments	<p>1; need to improve the abstract, Rewrite the abstract, lot of grammatical mistakes, in abstract first write a Physico chemical analysis result and at last write sensory results</p> <p>2: you should change the topic: Physico chemical and sensory evaluation of Shrikhand Prepared from cow and goat milk</p> <p>3: In research and methodology section, recipe material and used add in table form,</p> <p>Flow chart make a figure form</p> <p>4: in result section , first add the results of physico chemical analysis and after that add sensory evaluation part at laste.</p> <p>5: remove all grammatical mistakes, for references follow the journal format.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Qasim Ali
Department, University & Country	University Malaysia Pahang Al Sultan Abdullah, Malaysia