

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_131277
Title of the Manuscript:	“Decolonizing Peace: Why African Traditions Hold a Key to Lasting Harmony”
Type of the Article	Analytical Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript stresses communal dialogue, restorative justice and consensus-building rather than punitive approaches, making them more effective in addressing deep-rooted conflicts and promoting sustainable peace within African societies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, revisit: mixture of present and past tenses, the first paragraph- “The study on decolonizing peace highlights, be consistence The methodology: write down the research design and population of the study Be consistence with America (US) English : Dialogue if British (UK) English while Dialog is America (US) The study is using American English, so be consistent	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, needs revisiting, study the style sheet, you are using and stick to it.	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but mixture of past and present tense: E.g. in the introduction, First paragraph, Butlin (2009) says, Chiweubu & Ezeugwu (2018) narrated, please stick to one tense	
Optional/General comments	<p>This is a publication paper why numbering; 1. 1.2 etc</p> <p>From the statement of the problem, Englebert & Dunn (2013) says – should be changed to</p> <p>Englebert and Dunn (2013) say, if you are using past tense, if past tense “said”</p> <p>Where you wrote: a disconnect” should be written “a disconnection”</p> <p>In the Statement of the Problem; is it that the problem was gotten from the authors cited there? If yes, have you checked the recent scholars of 2020 to 2025, has this problem not changed?</p> <p>What really motivated you to carry out this work?</p> <p>Which problem did you identify before carry out the work, let it emanate from you, it would be better</p> <p>The theory should be written in this order: the theory, propounder, year, tenets, assumption, criticism and relevance to this study.</p> <p>Before the findings and discussion, first present the data gotten from the field, that is the result</p> <p>This paper is highly opinionated, a lot of permutation and combination- this paper is qualitative but has quantitative result showing percentages and graph, how come?</p> <p>The indented paragraphs should not have quotation marks</p> <p>Revisit the reference, which style sheet are you using?</p> <p>Revisit the recommendation to align with the findings and the study objectives</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eke, Chigozi
Department, University & Country	University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria