

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_129678
Title of the Manuscript:	How the Presence of an Authority Figure Influences Individual Choices and Stress Levels: a One-Time Experimental Study
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research is not well appreciated by the scientific community. The major concern of this paper is human resource management and the use of authority. It is more beneficial for social science researchers and the community. It has further application in child rearing and other fields as well, where instant and close supervision leads to violence and disobedience perspectives.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable; however, it is suggested that instead of individual, the plural individuals with an apostrophe sign make it more appealing.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is correct as it provides a brief summary of what has been done and how it has been done.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	It is scientifically correct but needs more improvement to impress the research community. The researchers need a major review of the Typoglycemia that has been demonstrated. The subject-verb agreement principle has been badly violated. If the manuscript is numbered, a few examples can be seen on lines 53 and 65. These results show that literature is a collective noun; its plural has been used..... 81.90, 110;449. A major review of the language is suggested.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	A research paper is a contribution of literature and theory in the existing fields of knowledge. A literature review functions as a bridge between past and future works. However, this manuscript finds a scarcity of the reviewed literature. It is suggested that more research works be added to make this work appealing.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	As suggested earlier, the language needs a major review. 2. The manuscript uses the language of a synopsis, using the future tense. The research has been conducted, and the results have been achieved. So, in the conclusion chapter, instead of writing "the researchers will examine," it should be written as " the researchers have examined."	
Optional/General comments	Population size needs to be rationalized. Why was this sample chosen? A sample size of forty.... Why not 41 or 39? What theoretical framework has been used? These questions need to be elaborated on in this world.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Ayub
Department, University & Country	COMSATS University, Pakistan