

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_130887
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation and production of Enriched (Bio-fertilizers) Vermi-compost on Bio-waste by earthworms (<i>Eisenia fetida</i> sp.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Vermicomposting is a green technique used to produce organic compost from organic waste with the aid of specific earthworm species. Vermicompost has a high nutrient value and can be considered a promising method for safely disposing organic waste, improving crop production and achieving long-term food security.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Enriched Vermicompost made through bio waste of soybean stover (dry matter) and fresh cow dung using earthworms (<i>Eisenia fetida</i> sp.)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes the abstract of the article is comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes manuscript is scientifically correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There should be addition of more recent references	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the language /English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	There is no conclusion in the manuscript. Include conclusion in the manuscript. With minor changes in the manuscript I strongly recommended for final publication	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Masarrat Sultana
Department, University & Country	Shreeyash College Of Engineering & Technology, India