Growth, productivity, available nitrogen, available phosphors, available potassium, available sulphurinfluenced by different plant spacing and nitrogen levels of Maize (Zea mays L.) in Bathinda districts of Punjab

maizein Bathind

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during (Kharif) seasons of 2023 at the Guru Kashi University, Talwandi Sabo, Bathinda, Punjab, to effectof different plant spacing and nitrogen levels on growth, productivity and available NPK of maize. The experiment was laid out in a split plot design with three replications, under three plant spacings of 45 cm x 15 cm, 55 cm x 20 cm, and 65 cm x 25 cm were included in the treatment plan, along with four nitrogen levels: control (75% of RDN (31.5 kg N/ha), 100% of RDN (42 kg N/ha), and 125% of RDN (52.5 kg N/ha). At every stage of the crop's growth, the leaf area index (LAI) with 125% of RDN was the highest and much better than that with 75% and 100% of RDN. Throughout the crop's growth stages, the spacing of 55 cm by 20 cm generated the least amount of dry matter. At a 45 cm X 15 cm spacing, the highest grain weight of 30.33 g was attained, which was comparable to the remaining plant spacing. At 55 cm X 20 cm, the lowest grain yield (52.76 q/ha⁻¹) was observed. With respect to nitrogen, highest harvest index (34.74%) was associated with application of 75% of RDN which was significantly superior over 100% of RDN With respect to plant spacing significantly highest harvest index (36.64%) was recorded at 45 cm X 15 cm and lowest harvest index (31.98%) was recorded with 65 cm X 25 cm and it was on par with 55 X 20 cm (33.24 %). With regard to plant spacing, higher soil available nitrogen and phosphorous was recorded at spacing of 65 cm X 25 cm, higher available potassium at spacing of 45 cm X 15 cm.

Keywords: Growth, productivity, available NPK, plant spacing, nitrogen levels, Maize.

INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays* L.)being one of the versatile emerging crop with wider adaptability under different agro-climatic environmental conditions. Around 1147.7 million metric tonnes of maize are produced worldwide from 193.7 million hectares, yielding an average of 5.75 tonnes per hectare in 170 countries with a variety of soil, climate, biodiversity, and management approaches (Meena and Nirupma, 2021). This amounts to 36% of grain production of the World. Because of its high level of genetic yield potential among all cereals, maize is known as 'Queen of Cereal's globally (Manjanagouda and Kalyanamurthy, 2018). The nutrients in cereals are distributed unevenly to have potential for reducing the risk of coronary heart disease, reducing tumour incidence, cancer risk, lowering blood pressure,

Comment [SA1]: Title must adjust to reflect the manuscript containing as:

Effect of different plant spacing and nitrogen levels on growth, productivity and available NPK of maizein Bathinda Districts of Punjab

Comment [SA2]: Objectives

Comment [SA3]: Clearly articulate the results

Comment [SA4]: Concussion

Comment [SA5]: crops

reduced rate of cholesterol and fat absorption etc. Thus the diet with regular inclusion of cereals can contribute much to health promotion and disease prevention (Murdiaet al 2016).

Maize (Zea mays L.), is a miraculous crop that is farmed for food and fodder because of its increased utility in agriculture and related industries. This crop has an advantage over other fodder crops because it may produce more green herbage, which is tasty, nutritious, succulent, sweet, and edible. It also has a lactogenic effect, and milch cows prefer it at any stage of the crop's growth.

Inadditiontonutrientmanagement, optimum plant populational soplay crucialroleinenhancementofcropproductivity.It isanestablishedfactthat higheryielddependsonoptimumplantpopulationandadequate nutrient inrecentyears, hybrids of increased application,particularlynitrogen.Also tolerancetodifferentstresses,especiallyhighplantpopulationhavebeen released by improvement (Tokatlidis and Koutroubas, 2004). Maize lacks tilleringcapacitytoadjustthelossoptimalcropstand.Optimum plantdensity should be characterized and maintained with uniform stand which is essential for higher and sustained productivity. Less than optimum plant population results in declined productivity due to inefficient utilization of resources by crop. While excessive plantpopulationleadstosevereinter-plantcompetitionforbasic resources.

MATERIALAND METHODS

EXPERIMENTAL SITE

LOCATION OF THE EXPERIMENTAL SITE

WEATHER DURING THE CROP PERIOD

Its geographic coordinates are 208 meters above mean sea level and 29°57'38.3"N 75°07'20.3"E. The farm is located on the university's main campus. This region is well-known for its semi-humid climate, which features particularly severe summer and winter temperatures. A high of between 32.3 and 39.7 degrees Celsius throughout the summer is not unusual. On the other hand, frost situations and freezing temperatures are possible in December and January. The monsoon usually starts in the first week of July.

SOIL CHARACTERISTICS

When starting the experiment, the soil composition of the selected experimental plot was thoroughly examined, and a top 0–15 cm soil sample was taken from the experimental field before fertilizer was applied and the crop was planted. The material was crushed, air dried, and

Comment [SA6]:

Comment [SA7]: The scientific name was mentioned in the first paragraph and this is enough

Comment [SA8]: The objective of the study is not explained, the objective of the study must be specified at the end of the introduction very clearly

Comment [SA9]: It is necessary to rewrite it in an orderly in clear way

Comment [SA10]: Is this depth sufficient to analyze soil composition?

sieved through a 2 mm sieve before being chemically analyzed to ascertain the experimental site's inherent fertility. A comprehensive analysis of the soil's composition, including its texture, nutrient content, and moisture content, was done in order to understandthe Experimental Plot's soil profile, viz., pH-;Slightly Alkaline,Electrical Conductivity(ds/m) is Non-saline, Organic carbon is low, Available N is very low, Available P is low, Available K is medium, soil is sandy loam type

Comment [SA11]: On which reference the author relied in the method of analysis

CROPPING HISTORY OF THE EXPERIMENTAL FIELD

The cropping history of experimental site shows that there has been a varied crop rotation over the years. Cereal crops like wheat and maize were cultivated extensively, and then, for research purposes, leguminous crops like lentils and chickpeas took over. Here is a summary of the last five years' crop history for the experimental field. The land has not received any organic fertilizer in the past when it came to cropping management.

EXPERIMENTAL Design and Layout

Split plot design; Number of treatments: 12; Number of replications: 3 Total number of plots: 36; Gross plot size: $4.5 \text{ m x } 3.50 \text{ m} = 15.75 \text{ m}^2$; Net Plot Size: $4.0 \text{ m x } 3.0 \text{ m} = 12.0 \text{ m}^2$, the **Treatments**; Main plot: Plant spacing (3) S1:45 cm x 15 cm S2: 55 cm x 20 cm; S3: 65 cm x 25 cm; b) Sub plot: Nitrogen level (4); N1: Control (0 kg N/ha⁻¹); N2: 75% of RDN (31.5 kg N/ha⁻¹); N3: 100% of RDN (42 kg N/ha⁻¹); N4: 125 of RDN (52.5 kg N/ha⁻¹)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effects of different plant spacing and nitrogen levels on growth and productivity of Maize:

The tests carried out during the Kharif season of 2023–2024 in the soil science laboratories of Guru Kashi University are presented in this chapter. It includes experimental data on several growth metrics, yield features and physico-chemical properties of the soil. Tables, conversations with relevant explanations present the data.

GROWTH PARAMETERS

The Bio-metric data on plant height, leaf area index and dry matter production were recorded at 30, 60 and at harvest intervals.

Plant Height

Plantheightofmaizemeasuredat30,60andat harvest hadnodifference duetodifferentlevelsofnitrogenandhoweveritdifferedsignificantlydueto different plant Spacing.

The data showed that, up until 30 DAS, plant height climbed slowly; after that, it increased quickly until 60 DAS. The amount of nitrogen present at different growth phases did not significantly affect plant height. Although 125% of RDN generated higher plants (250.20 cm

Comment [SA12]: It is necessary to rewrite it in an orderly and clear way

Comment [SA13]: What are the results obtained?

Comment [SA14]: What the meaning this

Comment [SA15]: It is mentioned in the materials and methods

Comment [SA16]: Re write

Comment [SA17]: of

and 273.24 cm, respectively) at 60 DAS and at harvest, the other two treatments of Nitrogen levels (75% of RDN and 100% RDN) produced plants that were statistically comparable. The lack of response of the maize crop to plant height with three different nitrogen doses and one control could be the result of the plant's sufficient need for nitrogen for vegetative development at 125% of RDN. Ummed Singh *et al.* (2012) found comparable outcomes.

Plant height varied significantly due to plant spacing. At 60 and at harvest tallerplants 259.97 and 282.55 cm, respectively, were produced by spacing of

65cmX25cmwhichwasstatisticallyatparwith55cmx20cmand

significantlysuperioroverrestofthetreatmentstried. At all the stages of crop growth the plant height produced with the inter row spacing of S1:45 cm x 15 cm and S2: 55 cm x 20 cm was at parwith each other and were however less than with the treatments of inter row spacing of S3: 65 cm x 25 cm. Similar results were obtained by Narayanaswamy and Siddaraju (2011) and Ummed Singh *et al.* (2012).

Leaf Area Index

Plant spacing and varying N levels caused significant differences in the LAI of maize measured at different growth stages. Throughout the growing period, leaf area index (LAI) in maize rose significantly and gradually until harvest.

At all crop growth stages, the maximum leaf area index (LAI) was measured at 125% of RDN (1.55, 3.25, and 3.60 at 30, 60, and Harvest, respectively). This was noticeably better than 75% of RDN and 100% of RDN. At every stage of the crop's growth, the lowest leaf area index (LAI) was measured at 75% of RDN (1.31, 3.01, and 3.35 at 30, 60, and harvest, respectively), and it was comparable to 100% of RDN (1.44, 3.10, and 3.42 at 30, 60, and harvest, respectively). Applying nitrogen caused plants to develop more vigorously by raising the plant's leaf area index. Bangarwa and Gaur (1998), Shivay *et al.* (1999), Muniswamy*et al.* (2007), Suryavanshi *et al.* (2008),and Vinod Kumar *et al.* (2012) all reported similar outcomes. The leaf area index of the crop grew as plant spacing increased during all stages of crop growth. The highest LAI was seen at S3: 65 cm x 25 cm (1.40, 2.83, and 3.25 at 30, 60, and harvest, respectively), which was noticeably better than the other treatments. The S2 spacing of 55 cm x 20 cm at 30 (1.30) and harvest (3.24) was the location having the lowest LAI. Because there were more plants per unit area, the LAI increased as the number of plants increased. Sanjeev Kumar and Bangarwa (1998), Muniswamy *et al.* (2007), and Vinod Kumar *et al.* (2012) reported similar outcomes.

Dry matter accumulation

Drymatterproduction(gm⁻²) showedincreasingtrendwiththe advancement of age of crop and reached maximum at 90 DAS. Increasing levels of nitrogen application significantly influenced the dry matter production (g m⁻²) at all the stages of cropgrowth. Application of 125% of RDN wasfound significantly superior in dry matter accumulation by the crop at all the stages 30 (204.27 g m⁻²), 60 (622.57 g m⁻²) and 90 DAS (954.27 gm⁻²)over 75% of RDN and 100% of RDN.Nitrogenapplication induced the vigorous growth of plants by increasing leafnumber and LAI, which might be due to higher photosynthetic

activityofplant,therebyproducingmaximumdrymatter.Similarresultswere observed by Bangarwa and Gaur (1998), Shivay *et al.* (1999), Muniswamy *et al.* (2007), Suryavnshi *et al.* (2008) and Vinod Kumar *et al.* (2012).

In terms of plant spacing, the spacing of S1:45 cm x 15 cm at 60 (680.40) and 90 (1059.28) DAS and S3: 65 cm x 25 cm at 30 DAS (216.04), which was comparable with S1:45 cm x 15 cm at 30 DAS (206.31), provided the considerably largest dry matter (g m⁻²). The crop produced the least amount of dry matter at S2 spacing, which was 55 cm by 20 cm, during all growth stages (176.17, 553.40, and 836.05 30, 60, and 90 DAS, respectively). More plants per unit area was the cause of the rise in dry matter output that accompanied an increase in plant density. Muniswamy*et al.* (2007) and Sanjeev Kumar and Bangarwa (1998) both reported similar outcomes.

DEVLOPMENT STUDIES

Tasseling Stage (50%) And Silking Stage (50%)

Both nitrogenand plant spacing levels showed significant influence on days to 50 per cent silking in maize.

Thenumber of daystaken by the croptoreach 50 percents ilking stage

decreasedwithincreaseinnitrogenlevelupto125% of RDN.Maize fertilized with higher dose of N (125% of RDN) took significantly less number of days to 50percentsilking(51.61)followedby100% of RDN(52.05), 75% of RDN (53.00)andcontrol (53.23). Similar results were observed by Jacobs and Pearson (1991), Muniswamyetal. (2007) and Vinod Kumar et al. (2012). Reducing the plant spacing to an S3 of 65 cm × 25 cm resulted in a much shorter time (51.44) to reach 50% silking stage. Low plant density

Comment [SA18]: ????

Comment [SA19]: a smaller

increased the amount of food materials that were transferred from the source (leaf) to the sink (reproductive portions). Because there was less competition among the plants at low densities, the plants grew vigorously and produced silking earlier. Similar findings, namely a delay in silking as a result of dense planting, were noted by Vinod Kumar *et al.* (2012),

Muniswamyet al. (2007), and Jacobs and Pearson (1991).

Per cent Barren plants

Thedatarecordedonpercentbarrennessrevealedthatthere was a significant difference due to planting densities and nitrogen levels. Per centbarrennessrecorded wassignificantlylowerat65 cm x 25 cm (1.42)thanat 55 cm x 20 cm (1.85) and 65 cm x 25 cm (2.16). More barrenness at high densities was duetotheabsenceoftheusualsinkfortheassimilatesupplyand limitingoptimumconversionoflightenergyto grain inmaizecropwhich inhibited the plants to produce viable ears. This trend of increase in barren plants inresponsetoincreasedplant populationwasalsoreportedbySahooand Mahapatra (2007) and Dawadi and Sah (2012).

STUDIES AT HARVEST

Final plant population (no.)

Data recorded on initial and final plant population of maize indicated that initial plant population was maintained aspert the treatments and there was a very slight variation in the final plant population which is negligible.

length of cob (cm)

Due to varying N levels, maize cob length varied significantly and was not statistically discernible from variation in plant spacing. The maximum length of a cob (16.82 cm) was measured at 100% of RDN, which was considerably longer than the control (14.12 cm) and 75% of RDN (16.02 cm). It was also on par with 125% of RDN (16.02 cm).

The length of the maize cob was not significantly impacted by plant population numbers. The longer cobs (16.53) were seen at S3 spacing, which is 65 cm x 25 cm, and this spacing was comparable to the other spacings. The cob with the smallest length 15.45 cmwas measured at an interval of S1:45 cm by 15 cm. Narayanaswamy and Siddaraju (2011) found similar outcomes.

Diameter of cob (cm)

The data presented revealed that nitrogen levels markedly influenced the cobdiameter of maize, whereas plant density had no effect on cobdiameter.

Comment [SA20]: percent

Comment [SA21]: re write

Cob diameter increased with increased levelsofnitrogen. The cob diameter was significantly highest with N level of 125% of RDN. The plant population levels could not show significant effect on cob 125% of RDN of maize. The maximum cob diameter (13.83 cm) was associated with plant spacing S3: 65 cm x 25 cmandtheminimum girthwas found (13.65 cm) at spacing of S1:45 cm x 15 cm. Similar results was obtained by Narayanaswamy and Siddaraju (2011).

Number of grains per cob

Perusal of thedata onnumberofgrainscob⁻¹ revealedthat the number of grainscob⁻¹ was significantly influenced by planting spacing and nitrogen levels. Maximumnumberofgrainscob⁻¹ wasrecordedwith 65 cm x 25 cm (412.4) whichwassignificantlysuperiorto 55 cm x 20 cm (364.8) and 45 cm x 15 cm (298.7).

Increasing thenitrogenlevel from control to 75% of RDN, 100% of RDN and 125% of RDN increasedthe numberofgrainscob⁻¹. The highestnumberofgrainscob⁻¹ (367.4) was obtained with 125% of RDN, significantlysuperiorto allthe otherlevels of nitrogen. The increased nitrogen supply and reduced plant density enhanced the number of cobs perplant as reported by Tariq Mahmood and Muhammad Saeed (1998) and Hari Om et al. (2014).

Grain yield per cob (g)

Marked differences of Grain weightwererecorded due to variations in nitrogen application from control to 125% of RDN. Significantly highest grain weight (126.6g) was recorded with 125% of RDN which was on par with 100% of RDN (123.0 g) alone and 75% of RDN (119.2 g). The maximum grain weight recorded might be due to proper availability of nitrogen fertilizer which helped the plant to utilize the nutrients with their maximum potential of up take. These findings are inclose conformity with the earlier findings of Sekhar *et al.* (2012) and Hari Om *et al.* (2014). Increase in grain weight might have favoured more absorption of nutrients to hybrid maize. These results are in agreement with Parasuraman (2006) and Potarzycki and Grebisz (2009).

100-grain weight (g)

Thehundredseedweightwassignificantlyinfluencedbynitrogenlevels but plantspacing exertanysignificant influence on hundred seed weight.

As the amount of nitrogen increase from zero (control) to 125% of RDN, the weight of a hundred maize seeds increased significantly. Compared to the control, 75% of RDN, 100% of RDN, and 75% of RDN, the greater hundred seed weight (30.61g) obtained with 125% of RDN was substantially superior. Bangarwa and Gaur (1998), Shivay *et al.* (1999),

Muniswamyet al. (2007), Suryavanshi et al. (2008), and Vinod Kumar et al. (2012) all reported similar results.

Grain Yield (q/ha⁻¹)

Thegrainyieldofmaizewassignificantlyinfluencedbynitrogenlevels andnotstaticallyinfluencedbytheplantspacing. Highest grainyield of maize (63.13 q/ha⁻¹) was recorded with 125% of RDN anditwassuperiorwithrestofthetreatments of control, 100% of RDN and 75% of RDN, respectively. Similar results were observed by Muniswam yet al. (2007), Suryavanshi et al., (2008) and Vinod Kumar et al. (2012). The grainyield of maizewas not varied due to plant Spacing. However, the highest grain yield (62.62 q/ha⁻¹) was recorded at a spacing of S3: 65 cm x 25 cm anditwas on parwith all the spacing stried except 45 cm x 15 cm which recorded lowest grainyield (52.76 q/ha⁻¹). Similar results were obtained by Chennakes avaet al. (2000) and Suryavanshi et al. (2009).

Stover Yield (q/ha⁻¹)

In table showed that differences in plant population levels and nitrogen content that caused significant variations in maize stover yield. Applying higher N levels considerably boosted the stover production. The amount of stover yield (90.61 q/ha⁻¹) that was produced by maize fertilized with 125% RDN was much higher than that of 100% RDN, but it was still significantly higher than that of 75% RDN and control. The treatment under control yielded the lowest stover output, measuring 54.90 q/ha⁻¹. Bangarwa and Gaur (1998), Shivay *et al.* (1999), Muniswamy*et al.* (2007), Suryavanshi *et al.* (2008), and Vinod Kumar *et al.* (2012) all reported similar outcomes. The plant spacing showed significant effect on stover yield of maize. The highest stover yield (88.78 q/ha⁻¹) was obtained with 65 cm x 25 cm and the lowest stover yield (68.78 q/ha⁻¹) obtained at 45 cm X 15 cm.

Harvest index (%)

Harvest index of maize was significantly influenced by nitrogen level and plant spacing. Withrespecttonitrogen, highestharvestindex (34.74 %) was associated with application of 75% of RDN which was significantly superior over control, 100% of RDN and 125% of RDN, lowestharvestindex (27.45 %) was observed with control treatment. With respect to plant spacing significantly highest harvest index (36.64 %) was recorded at 45 cm X 15 cm and lowest harvest index (31.98 %) was recorded with 65 cm X 25 cm and it was on par with 55 cm X 20 cm (33.24 %).

SOIL STUDIES

Chemical properties

Comment [SA22]: What is the unit of measurement of production per hectare? The unit is assumed to be ton/ha

Comment [SA23]: qha-1orq/ha

Post harvest soil available Nitrogen

Datapertainingtopostharvestsoilavailablenitrogenasinfluencedby

differentlevelsofnitrogenandplantspacing. Post harvest soil available nitrogen status was significantly influenced by

differentlevelsofnitrogenandnotstaticallyinfluencedbytheplantspacing.

With crop harvest, there was more soil accessible nitrogen with higher amounts of nitrogen than earlier. The maximum amount of nitrogen studied, or 125% of RDN, was found to be soil accessible nitrogen (296.1 kg/ha⁻¹), which was noticeably more than 100% of RDN (266.33 kg/ha⁻¹). Increased mineralization driven on by more nitrogen fertilization may be the cause of an increase in post-harvest soil accessible nitrogen. Similar results were attained by

Paramasivan and associates (2011).

The postharvest soil available nitrogen was not significant varied due to plant spacing. However, the highest available nitrogen (286.77 kg/ha⁻¹) was recorded at a spacing of 55cm X 20 cm and it was on par with all the spacings tried except 45cm X 15cm which recorded lowest available nitrogen (260.33 kg/ha⁻¹).

Post harvest soil available Phosphorous

Data pertaining to post harvest soil available phosphorous as influenced by differentlevelsofnitrogenandplantspacingispresentedin Table. Post harvest soil available phosphorous status was significantly influenced by different levels of nitrogen and not statically influenced by the plant spacing. Regarding different levels of nitrogen, higherpostharvestsoilavailable phosphorus was associated with the application of 75% of RDN (118.75 kg/ha⁻¹) which was significantly superior to 125% of RDN (92.04kg/ha⁻¹), while lower available phosphorous was recorded with the application of 125% of RDN (92.04kg/ha⁻¹).

Available phosphorus decreased with increase in nitrogen level. Thiswasprobablyduetopositiveinteractionofphosphoruswithincreased nitrogenapplicationi.e.theacidifyingeffectofaddednitrogenfertilizer enhancingthephosphorussolubility,therebyincreasingtheavailabilityof phosphorusandresultinginlessavailablephosphorusafterharvestathighest nitrogen levels. Thepostharvestsoilavailablephosphorouswasnotvariedduetoplant spacing.

Post harvest soil available Potassium

Table presents the findings on post-harvest soil accessible potassium as impacted by nitrogen levels and plant spacing. In terms of nitrogen levels, the application of 75% of RDN (431.32 kg/ha⁻¹) compared to 125% of RDN (340.67 kg/ha⁻¹) resulted in noticeably higher post-

Comment [SA24]: post-harvest

harvest accessible soil potassium, while the application of 125% of RDN (340.67 kg/ha⁻¹) resulted in the lowest levels. Potassium likewise exhibited the same trend as phosphorus, meaning that it had a positive interaction with nitrogen, increasing its availability during crop growth and resulting in less potassium being accessible after harvest at higher nitrogen levels. The plant densities showed significant effect on available potassium. The highest available potassium (404.88 kg/ha⁻¹) was recorded with 55 cmX 20 cm and the lowest available potassium (331.52 kg/ha⁻¹) was found at 45 cm X 15 cm which was on par with 55 cm X 20 cm and 65 cm X 25 cm.

Post harvest soil available Sulphur

Data on postharvest soil that is accessible showed that influence of varying nitrogen levels and plant spacing on sulfur levels. Accessible post-harvest soil different nitrogen levels had a substantial impact on sulfur status, although plant spacing had no statistically significant effect.

With crop harvest, there was more soil accessible Sulphur with higher amounts of Sulphur than earlier. The maximum amount of Sulphur studied, or 125% of RDN, was found to be soil accessible Sulphur (5.03 kg/ha⁻¹), which was noticeably more than 100% of RDN (4.98 kg/ha⁻¹). Increased mineralization driven on by more nitrogen fertilization may be the cause of an increase in post-harvest soil accessible Sulphur. Similar results were attained by Paramasivan and associates (2011).

The postharvest soil available Sulphur was not significant varied due to plant spacing. However, the highest available Sulphur (4.76 kg/ha⁻¹) was recorded at a spacing of 65cm X 25 cm and it was on par with all the spacing treatments.

Table 1: -Influence of plant spacing and nitrogen levels on plant height (cm) of maize

Treatment	Days after Sowing				
	30	60	At Harvest		
S1:45 cm x 15 cm	76.13	244.71	272.10		
S2: 55 cm x 20 cm	77.28	237.17	264.14		
S3:65 cm x 25 cm	81.82	259.97	282.55		
SEm±	0.73	2.26	0.05		
CD 5%	3.20	9.72	7.39		
N1: Control	66.42	200.54	250.78		
N2: 75% of RDN	77.53	242.73	269.77		
N3: 100% of RDN	77.87	250.67	275.46		

Comment [SA25]: why the author put the table in end of the result?

it is butter to stated any table in the end of it result

N4: 125% of RDN	79.85	250.20	273.24
SEm±	0.49	1.67	1.35
CD 5%	NS	NS	NS

Table 2: -Influence of plant spacing and nitrogen levels on leaf area index of maize

Treatment	Days after Sowing			
	30	60	At Harvest	
S1:45 cm x 15 cm	1.74	3.71	4.02	
S2: 55 cm x 20 cm	1.30	2.93	3.24	
S3:65 cm x 25 cm	1.40	2.83	3.25	
SEm±	0.006	0.014	0.014	
CD 5%	0.03	0.04	0.04	
N1: Control	0.92	2.61	2.90	
N2: 75% of RDN	1.31	3.01	3.35	
N3: 100% of RDN	1.44	3.10	3.42	
N4: 125% of RDN	1.55	3.25	3.60	
SEm±	0.006	0.006	0.006	
CD 5%	0.02	0.03	0.03	

Table 3: - Influence of plant spacing and nitrogen levels on dry matter accumulation (g $\,m^{\text{-}2})$ of maize

Treatment	Days after Sowing			
	30	60	90	
S1:45 cm x 15 cm	206.31	680.40	1059.28	
S2: 55 cm x 20 cm	176.17	553.40	836.05	
S3:65 cm x 25 cm	216.04	608.03	928.11	
SEm±	3.41	4.72	4.45	
CD 5%	9.82	13.58	12.82	
N1: Control	119.43	409.23	675.09	
N2: 75% of RDN	162.84	512.34	830.02	
N3: 100% of RDN	186.39	594.16	869.57	

N4: 125% of RDN	204.27	622.57	954.27
SEm±	2.41	3.33	3.15
CD 5%	6.94	13.58	9.06

Table 4: -Influence of plant spacing and nitrogen levels on days to 50% tasseling stage, days to 50% silking stage and% barren plants of maize crop.

Treatment	Days t	% Barren plants	
	Tasseling stage	Silking stage	
S1:45 cm x 15 cm	58.03	52.88	2.16
S2: 55 cm x 20 cm	57.90	51.55	1.85
S3:65 cm x 25 cm	56.31	51.44	1.42
SEm±	1.00	0.24	0.042
CD 5%	NS	0.72	0.16
N1: Control	48.23	43.23	2.40
N2: 75% of RDN	59.03	53.00	2.15
N3: 100% of RDN	58.34	52.05	1.98
N4: 125% of RDN	57.19	51.61	1.49
SEm±	1.50	0.17	0.061
CD 5%	NS	0.50	0.17

Table 5: - Influence of plant spacing and nitrogen levels on plant population, length of cob, diameter of cob and number grains per cob of maize crop

Treatment	Length of cob	Diameter of cob	Number	100-grain
			grains per	weight (g)
			cob	
S1:45 cm x 15 cm	15.45	13.65	298.7	30.33
S2: 55 cm x 20 cm	16.35	13.66	364.8	28.88
S3:65 cm x 25 cm	16.53	13.83	412.4	26.11
SEm±	0.30	0.10	9.03	0.79
CD 5%	0.89	0.31	35.4	2.28
N1: Control	14.12	11.45	256.7	26.12
N2: 75% of RDN	16.02	13.51	298.1	26.50

N3: 100% of RDN	16.82	13.63	329.8	28.72
N4: 125% of RDN	16.18	13.90	367.4	30.61
SEm±	0.21	0.07	12.93	0.55
CD 5%	0.63	0.55	36.9	1.61

Table 6: - Influence of plant spacing and nitrogen levels on grains yield per cob (g), 100-grain weight (g), grain yield (q/ha^{-1}) , stover yield (q/ha^{-1}) , harvest yield (%) of maize crop.

Treatment	Grains yield per	Grain yield	Stover yield	Harvest
	cob (g)	(q/ha ⁻¹)	(q/ha ⁻¹)	index (%)
S1:45 cm x 15 cm	124.4	61.74	68.78	36.64
S2: 55 cm x 20 cm	127.0	52.76	70.58	33.24
S3:65 cm x 25 cm	133.1	62.62	88.78	31.98
SEm±	2.56	2.81	2.26	1.27
CD 5%	NS	8.27	6.51	3,59
N1: Control	109.9	30.56	54.90	27.45
N2: 75% of RDN	119.2	51.70	62.48	34.74
N3: 100% of RDN	123.0	56.53	90.38	31.32
N4: 125% of RDN	126.6	63.13	90.61	30.06
SEm±	4.31	20.45	1.60	0.9
CD 5%	11.3	5.65	4.61	2.51

Table 7: - Influence of spacing and nitrogen levels on Available nitrogen (Kg ha⁻¹), Available Phosphorus (Kg ha⁻¹), Available potassium (Kg ha⁻¹)and Available Sulphur on postharvest available soil nutrients.

Treatment	Available	Available	Available	Available
	nitrogen (Kg	Phosphorus	potassium	Sulphur
	ha ⁻¹)	(Kg ha ⁻¹)	(Kg ha ⁻¹)	
S1:45 cm x 15 cm	260.33	102.36	331.52	3.84
S2: 55 cm x 20 cm	286.77	119.71	404.88	4.02
S3:65 cm x 25 cm	273.55	104.58	344.46	4.76
SEm±	10.93	7.45	17.11	0.25

CD 5%	NS	NS	83.68	1.19
N1: Control	186.09	72.09	256.89	3.06
N2: 75% of RDN	259.38	118.75	431.32	3.12
N3: 100% of RDN	266.33	101.92	399.44	4.98
N4: 125% of RDN	296.11	92.04	340.67	5.03
SEm±	6.80	5.68	20.58	0.37
CD 5%	23.02	16.21	59.17	1.12

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

Afieldexperimentwasconductedduring soilsofGuru kharif,2024onsandyloam Kashi University, situated in Talwandi Sabo, Bathinda, tostudythe "Effects of different plant spacing and nitrogen levels on growth and productivity of Maize (Zea mays L.) for Bathinda districts of Punjab". The experiment was laid out in Split Plot Design and replicated thrice. The treatments consisted of four nitrogen levels viz., N1-Control (0 kg N/ha⁻¹), N2-75% of RDN (31.5 kg N/ha⁻¹), N3-100% of RDN (42 kg N/ha⁻¹) and N4-125% of RDN (52.5 kg N/ha⁻¹) and three plant spacing, viz.,S1-45 cm x 15 cm, S2-55 cm x 20 cm and S3-65 cm x 25 cm. The salient investigation findings of the are summarized below. Observationswererecordedongrowthparameters viz.,plantheight,leafarea index,drymatterproductionanddaysto50percentsilkingandyieldandyield attributes viz., cob length, cob girth, number of rows per cob, number grains per row,100grainweight, grainyieldandStoveryield.Datawasalsocollectedon shellingpercentage, harvestindex, postharvestavailable N,P,K status of the soil for different treatments.

All the above-mentioned parameters of maize were influenced by graded levels of nitrogen and plant spacing. At 60 DAS and at Harvest taller plants (250.67 cm and 275.46 cm, respectively) were produced by 125% of RDN which was however statistically at par with the other two treatments of 100% of RDNand75% of RDN. At60 DAS and At harvest tallerplants(259.97 and 282.55 cm, respectively) were produced by a spacing of 65 cm X 25 cm which was statisticallyatparwith55 cmX20 cmandsignificantlysuperioroverrestofthe treatments tried. Thehighestleafareaindex(LAI)was recordedwith125% of RDN, which was significantly superior over 75% of RDN and 100% of RDN at all the growth stages of the crop. Thelowestleafareaindex(LAI)wasrecordedwith75% of RDN atallthe growth stages of the crop and was on par with control. The highest LAI was recorded at a spacing of 45 cm X 15

Comment [SA26]: conclusion is very long and not clear,so I suggest to author to re write the conclusion in the form of points to be clear,directand easy cm at all the stages of crop growth. The lowest LAI was recorded at spacing of 55 cm X 20 cm at all the stages of crop growth. Application of 125% of RDN was found significantly superior and increased the drymatter accumulation by the cropatall stages of 30 (204.27 g m⁻²),60 (622.57 g m⁻²) and 90 DAS (954.27 g m⁻²) over 100% of RDN, 75% of RDN and control. The highest dry matter (g m-2) was produced at a spacing of 65 cm X 25 cm at 60 and 90 DAS and with 55 cm X 20 cm at 30 DAS which was on parwith 45 cm X 15 cm at 30

DAS.Thelowestdrymatterwasproducedatspacingof55cmX20cmatall growthstagesofthecrop. Application of higher dose of N (125% of RDN) took significantly less number of days to 50 per cent silking (57.19) followed by 100% of RDN (58.34) and 75% of RDN (53.00). Lower plant spacing with a spacing of 65 cm X 25 cm had taken less number of days(51.44)toreach50percentsilkingstageandhigherplantdensitywitha spacing of 45 cm X 15 cm and 55 cm X 20 cm took maximum days (52.88). The highest cob length (16.82 cm) was recorded at 100% of RDN which was significantly superior over 75% of RDN (16.02 cm) and was at par with 125% of RDN (16.18 cm). The longer cobs (16.53 cm) were observed at a spacing of 65 cm X 25 cm and it was on par with rest of different spacing. The lowest cob (15.45 cm) length was recorded at a spacing of 45 cm X 15 cm. ThecobgirthwassignificantlyhighestwithN levelof125% of RDN.The maximum cob girth (13.66 cm) was associated with lower plant density i.e.55 cm X 20 cm and the minimum girth (13.65)cm) at of 45 X 15 was found spacing cm cm. Thehundredseedweight(30.61g)obtainedwith 125% of RDN significantlysuperiorover 100% of RDN and 75% of RDN. The maximum hundredgrain weight (30.33 g) was obtained at a spacing of 45 cm X 15 cm and it was on par with rest of the plant spacing. The highestgrain yield(63.13 q/ha⁻¹)wasrecordedwith125% of RDN which was significantly superior to 100% of RDN and was at par with 75% of RDN.

The lowest grain yield (30.56 q/ha⁻¹) was recorded on control treatment. The highest grain yield (62.62 q/ha⁻¹) was recorded with the spacing of 65 cm X 25 cm. The lowest grain yield (52.76 q/ha⁻¹) was recorded at 55 cm X 20 cm. With respect to nitrogen, highest harvest index (34.74%) was associated with application of 75% of RDN which was significantly superior over 100% of RDN and control and lowestharvestindex(30.06 %)wasobservedwith125% of RDN. With respect to plant spacing significantly highest harvest index (36.64 %) was recorded at 45 cm X 15 cm and lowest harvest index (31.98%) was recorded with 65 cm X 25 cm and it was on par with 55 X 20 cm (33.24 %). Maize fertilized with 125% of RDN produced significantly more stover yield (90.61 q/ha⁻¹)butitwasonparwith100% of RDN

and significantly superior over 75% of RDN. The lowest stoveryield (54.90 q/ha⁻¹) was with application of no nitrogen (control) treatment. The highest stover yield (88.78 q/ha) was obtained with 65 cm X 25 cm and the lowest stoveryield (68.78 q/ha⁻¹) obtained at 45 cm X 15 cm. As regards to post harvest soil nutrients, available nitrogen was increased with increasinglevelsofnitrogenfrom 75% of RDN to 125% of RDN and maximum soil available phosphorusandpotassiumwererecordedwithapplicationof75% of RDN.The soilavailablephosphorusandpotassiumwererecordedwithapplication of 125% of RDN, with regard to plant spacing, higher soil available nitrogen and phosphorous was recorded at spacing of 65 cm X 25 cm, higher available potassium at spacing of 45 cm X 15 cm. The lowest available nitrogen was recorded spacing X15cmandlowestsoilavailablephosphorousandpotassiumwasrecordedat45 cm X 15 cm.

REFERENCES

- Abo El-Ezz, S.F. and Haffez, S.H., 2019. Effect of nitrogen fertilization, proline, plant spacing and irrigation intervals on growth of maize Plant. *Journal of Soil Sciences and Agricultural Engineering*, 10(8), pp.447-456.
- Adhikari, K., Bhandari, S., Aryal, K., Mahato, M. and Shrestha, J., 2021. Effect of different levels of nitrogen on growth and yield of hybrid maize (*Zea mays* L.) varieties. *Journal of Agriculture and Natural Resources*, 4(2), pp.48-62.
- Alam, M. J., Ahemd, K. S., Nahar, M. K., Akter, S. and Uddin, M. A. 2020a. Effect of different sowing dates on the performance of maize. J. Krishi. Vigyan. 8(2): 75-81.
- Alam, M.J., Uddin, M.A., Nahar, M.K., Ali, M.Y. and Ahmed, K.S., 2020. Enhancement of maize productivity through using improved techniques of spacing. *Journal of Experimental Bioscience*, 11(2), pp.27-34.
- Amanullah. 2007. Partitioning of drymatter and grain yield potential in maize (*Zea mays* L.) as influenced by plant density, rate and timing of nitrogen application. International Journal of Sustainable Crop Production. 2(5): 1-7.

Comment [SA27]: The number of references is too large

I suggest that the author adopt modern references and resent study to guide them and delete the old references, especially before 2012, for example, and suffice with 20 to 25 references

- Ashoka, P., Prabhakar Setty, T.K., Krishna Murthy, N and Sree Ramulu, K.R. 2013. Performance of hybrid maize (*Zea maysL.*)to plant density and different nutrients under rainfed condition. Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences.47 (1): 176-179.
- Ayub, M., Ahmad, R., Nadeem M.A., Ahmad, B., and Khan, R.M.A. 2003. Effect of different levels of nitrogen and seed rate on growth, yield and quality of maize fodder. Pakistan Journal of Agriculture Science.40 (3-4).
- Bangarwa, A.S. and Gaur, B.L., 1998. Effect of plant population, detopping and nitrogen levels on growth and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *PKV Research Journal*, 22(1), pp.136-137.
- Bruns and Ebehler. 2006. Nutrient uptake of maize affected by nitrogen and potassium fertility in a humid subtropical environment. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 37: 275–293.
- Chandankar, M.M., Ghanbahadur M.R and Shinde V.S. 2005. Yield and economics of maize as influenced by FYM, N, P, K and plant density. Annals of plant Physiology. 19(2): 172-174.
- Chennakeshava, B.C., Ramaprasanna, K.P and Ramachandrappa, B.K. 2000. Influence of spacing and fertilizer levels on seed yield and yield components in African tall fodder maize. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural sciences.13(2): 343-348.
- Dahmardeh, M. 2011. Effect of plant density and nitrogen rate on PAR absorption and maize yield. American Journal of Plant Physiology. 6(1): 44-49.
- Dawadi, D.R and Sah, S.K. 2012. Growth and yield of hybrid maize (*Zea mays* L.) in relation to planting density and nitrogen levels during winter season in Nepal. Tropical Agricultural Research.23 (3): 218 –227.
- Donald, C.M. and Hamblin, J., 1976. The biological yield and harvest index of cereals as agronomic and plant breeding criteria. *Advances in agronomy*, 28, pp.361-405.
- Emine BudakkiCarpici, Necmettin Celik and Gameze Bayram. 2010. Yield and quality of forage maize as influenced by plant density and nitrogen rate. Turkish Journal of Field Crops. 15(2): 128-138.

- EpimaqueNsanzabaganwa, Tapas K Das., Dhian S Ranaand Naresh Kumar, S. 2014. Nitrogen and phosphorus effects on winter maize in an irrigated agroecosystem in western Indo-Gangetic plains of India. Maydica electronic publication. 59: 152-160.
- Fuksa, P., Hrevušová, Z., Szabó, O. and Hakl, J., 2023. Effect of Row Spacing and Plant Density on Silage Maize Growth, Dry Matter Distribution and Yield. *Agronomy*, 13(4), p.1117.
- Getaneh, L., Belete, K. and Tana, T., 2016. Growth and productivity of maize (*Zea mays* L.) as influenced by inter and intra-row spacing in Kombolcha, Eastern Ethiopia. *Journal of Biology*, 12.
- Gollar, R.G., and Patil, V.C. 2000, Effect of plant densities on growth and yield of maize genotypes under rabiseason. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences.13(1): 1-6.
- Gosavi, S.P andBhagat, S.B. 2009. Effect of nitrogen levels and spacing on yield attributes, yield and quality parameters of baby corn (*Zea mays* L.). Annuals of Agriculture Research. 30(3&4):125-128.
- Hari Om., Singh, S.P., Singh, J.K., Singh, R.N., Ansari, M.A., Meena, R.L and Brijesh Yadav. 2014. Productivity, nitrogen balance and economics of winter maize (*Zea maysL.*)as influenced by QPM cultivars and nitrogen levels. IndianJournal of Agricultural Sciences.84 (2): 306-308.
- Hasan, M.R., Rahman, M.R., Hasan, A.K., Paul, S.K. and Alam, A.H.M.J., 2018. Effect of variety and spacing on the yield performance of maize (*Zea mays L.*) in old Brahmaputra floodplain area of Bangladesh. *Arch. Agriculture Environment* pp.270-274.
- Horváth, É., Gombos, B. and Széles, A., 2021. Evaluation phenology, yield and quality of maize genotypes in drought stress and non-stress environments.
- Hugar, A.Y and Halikatti, S.I. 2015. Thermal requirement and productivity of kharif maize under different growing environments and planting density. International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research.2(3): (2015): 93–97.

- I. 2003. Influence of seed rate and phosphorus fertilizers on growth, fodder yield and nutritive value of Pearl millet (Pennisetum americanumL.) M.sc. Thesis, Department of Agronomy, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad: 118.
- Jacobs, B.C and Pearson G.J. 1991. Potential yield of maize determined by rates of growth and development of ears. Field Crop Research.27: 281-298.
- Jiban Shrestha. 2013. Effect of nitrogen and plant population on flowering and grain yield of winter maize. Unique Research Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2(1): 1-6.
- Madhusudhan Reddy D and Bhanumurthy V.B. 2010. Fodder, grain yield, nitrogen uptake and crude protein of forage maize as influenced by different nitrogen management practices. International Journal of Biodiversity Science and Management. 1(2): 69-71.
- Malla Reddy, M., Padmaja, B and Raja Ram Reddy, D. 2010, Response of maize (Zeamays L.) to irrigation scheduling and nitrogen doses under no till condition in rice fallows. Journal of Research. ANGRAU 40(1): 6-12.
- Meena, K.N., Ashok Kumar, Rana, D.S and Meena M.C. 2011. Productivity and nutrient uptake of maize (*Zea mays* L.) –wheat (Triticum aestivum) cropping System under different bio-sources and nitrogen levels. Indian Journal of Agronomy.56(3):182-188.
- Meenashekhar and Nirupma Singh, 2021, Theimpact of climate change on changing pattern of maize diseases in Indian subcontinent: A review. In: Maize genetic resources breeding strategies and recent advances. [(Eds.) Mohamed Ahmed El-Esawi], London.
- Mercy, Z., Chandrasekhar, K and Subbaiah G. 2012. Response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) to planting densities and nitrogen levels under laterabiconditions. The Andhra Agricultural Journal. 59(4): 517-519.
- Misra, B.N., Bhagwan Singh and Rajput, A.L. 2001. Yield, quality and economics as influenced by winter maize-based intercropping system in eastern Uttar Pradesh. Indian Journal of Agronomy.46 (3): 425-431.
- Modhej, A., Lack, S. and Sorkhi, F.K.G., 2014. Effect of nitrogen and defoliation on assimilate redistribution and grain yield of maize (*Zea mays L.*) under subtropical

- conditions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, India Section B: Biological Sciences*, 84, pp.765-770.
- Muhammad Asif Shehzad, Muhammad Maqsood, Muhammad Altaf Bhatti, Wahid Ahmad and Muhammad Rafiq Shahid. 2012. Effects of nitrogen fertilization rate and harvest time on maize (*Zea mays* L.) fodder yield and its quality attributes. Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biological Research .2(1):19-26.
- Mukhtar, T., Arif, M., Hussain, S., Atif, M., Rehman, S. and Hussain, K., 2012. Yield and yield components of maize hybrids as influenced by plant spacing. *J. Agric. Res*, 50(1), pp.59-69.
- Muniswamy, S., Rame Gouda and Rajendra Prasad, S. 2007. Effect of spacing and nitrogen levels on seed yield and quality of maize single cross hybrid PEHM-2. Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences.41(2):186-190.
- Murdia, L.K., Wadhwani, R., Wadhawan, N., Bajpai, P. and Shekhawat, S., 2016. Maize utilization in India: an overview. *American Journal of Food and Nutrition*, 4(6), pp.169-176.
- Narayanaswamy, S and Siddaraju R. 2011. Influence of spacing and mother plant nutrition on seed yield and quality of sweet corn (*Zea mays* var. rugosa). Mysore Journal of Agriculture Sciences. 45(2):296-299.
- Omar, S., Abd Ghani, R., Khaeim, H., Sghaier, A.H. and Jolánkai, M., 2022. The effect of nitrogen fertilisation on yield and quality of maize (*Zea mays L.*). *Acta Alimentaria*.
- Panse V G and Sukhatame P V. 1985. Statistical Methods for Agriculture Workers, pp 381. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi.
- Paramasivan, M., Kumaresan, K.R and Malarvizhi, P. 2011. Effect of balanced nutrition on yield, nutrient uptake and soil fertility of maize (*Zea mays*) in vertisols of Tamilnadu. Indian Journal of Agronomy.56(2):133-137.
- Parasuraman, P. 2006. Studies on nutrient requirement of hybrid maize (*Zea mays* L.) under irrigated conditions. Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 40(1): 14-20.
- Potarzycki, J and Grzebisz, W. 2009. Effect of zinc foliar application on grain yield of maize and its yielding components. Plant Soil Environment.55(12): 519–527.

- Pradan, S., Chopra, U.K., Bandyopadhayay, K. K., Krishnan, P., Singh, R and Jain. A. K. 2013. Soil water dynamics, root growth and water and nitrogen use efficiency of rainfed maize (*Zea maysL.*)in a semi-arid environment. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences.83 (5): 542-548.
- Prakash, K.N. and Venkataramana, M.N., 2023. Growth of Maize Ecosystem in India and Karnataka Vis-a-Vis Associated Risk in Production: An Economic Insight. *Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 57(2).
- Rao V ,P. 2012. Effect of plant density and N P rates on productivity of rice-fallow maize under zerotillage conditions. Ph. D Thesis, Acharya N G Ranga Agricultural University, Hyderabad, India.
- Sahoo, S.C and Mahapatra, P.K. 2004. Response of sweet corn (*Zea mays* L) to nitrogen levels and plant population. Indian Journal of Agronomy.74(6):337-338.
- Sahoo, S.C. and Panda, M.M. 1999.Effect of nitrogen and plant population on yield of baby corn (*Zea mays* L). Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences.67 (2): 157-158.
- Sampath, O., Madhavi, M. and Rao, P.C., 2013. Evaluation of genotypes and nitrogen levels for yield maximization in rabi maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Int. J. Innov. Res. Dev*, 2, pp.314-318.
- Sanjeev Kumar and Bangarwa, A.S. 1998. Yield and yield components of winter maize (*Zea mays* L.) as influenced by plant density and nitrogen levels. Agriculture Science Digest.17(3):181-184.
- Sannagoudar, M.S., Kalyana Murthy, K.N., Nagaraju, R.C., Sathish, A., Nagarathna, T.K. and Anand, M.R., 2018. Yield, nutrient uptake and available soil nutrient status after harvest of maize (Zea mays L.) as influenced by planting geometry and nutrient management in maize based intercropping. *IJCS*, 6(4), pp.884-891.
- Seema Sepat and Ashok Kumar. 2007. Nitrogen management in maize (ZeamaysL.) under life saving and assured irrigations. Indian Journal of AgriculturalSciences77 (7): 451-454.

- Sekhar, S., Mohamed Amanulla, M., Monaharan, S and Subramanian, K.S. 2012. Influence of fertilizer levels and growth substances on hybrid maize under irrigated conditions. Agriculture Science Digest. 32 (1): 79-82.
- Sharifi, R. S., & Namvar, A. (2016). Effects of time and rate of nitrogen application on phenology and some agronomical traits of maize (*Zea mays* L.). Biologija, 62(1). DOI: https://doi.org/10.6001/biologija.v62i1.3288.
- Sheraz Mahdi S., Badrul Hasan, R., Bhat A., and Aziz, M.A. 2010. Yield and economic of fodder maize as influenced by nitrogen, seed rate and zinc under temperate condition. Forage Research. 36(1): pp. 22-25.
- Sheraz Mahdi, S., Badrul Hasan and Lal Singh. 2012. Influence of seed rate, nitrogen and zinc on fodder maize (*Zea mays* L) in temperate conditions of western himalays. Indian Journal of Agronomy.57(1):85-88.
- Shivay, V.S., Singh, R.P and Pandey C.S. 1999. Response of nitrogen in maize (*Zea mays* L.) based intercropping system. Indian Journal of Agronomy.44(2):261-266.
- Singh, M.K., Singh, R.N and Singh, V.K. 2011. Effect of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients on growth, yield, quality and nutrient uptake by baby corn (*Zea mays* L). Annuals of Agriculture Research. 32(3&4):93-99.
- Spandana P. Bhatt. (2012). Response of sweet corn hybrid to varying plant densities and nitrogen levels. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 7(46): 6158-6166.
- Sreelatha, D., Siva lakshmi, Y., Anuradha, M. and Ranga Reddy, R. 2013. Interactive effects of plant population and fertility levels on the productivity of maize under rice-maize system. Proceeding International Maize Conference. pp: 97-102.
- Sunitha, N., Maheswarareddy P and Srinivasulu Reddy D. 2011. Influence of planting pattern and weed control practices on weed growth, nutrient uptake and productivity of sweet corn (*Zea mays* L.). Crop Research.41(1,2&3):13-20.
- Suryavanshi, V.P., Chavan, B.N., Jadhav, K.T and Pagar P.A. 2008. Effect of spacing, nitrogen and phosphorous levels on growth, yield and economics of kharif maize. International Journal of Tropical Agriculture.26(3-4):287-291.

- Swarup, A., Damodar Reddy, D and Prasad, R.N. 1998. Long term soil ferlity management through integrated plant nutrient supply. Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh.
- Tajul, M. I., Alam, M.M., Hossain, S.M.M., Naher, K., Rafii, M.Y and Latif, M. A. 2013. Influence of plant population and nitrogen-fertilizer at various levels on growth and growth efficiency of maize. The ScientificWorldJournal.Volume 2013, Article ID 193018, 9 pages.
- Tetarwal, J.P., Baldev Ram and Meena, D.S. 2011. Effect of integrated nutrient management on productivity, profitability, nutrient uptake and soil fertility in rainfed maize (*Zea mays* L). Indian Journal of Agronomy.56(4):373-376.
- Thavaprakaash, N and Velayudham K. 2009. Influence of crop geometry, intercropping systems and INM practices on productivity of baby corn (*Zea mays* L.) based inter cropping system. Mysore Journal of Agriculture Sciences. 43(4):686-695.
- Thimmappa, V., Srinivasa Reddy, M., Vijaya Bhaskar Reddy, U and Tirumala Reddy, S. 2014. Effect of nitrogen levels and planting densities on growth parameters, yield attributes and yield of kharifmaize (*Zea mays* L.). Crop Research.47 (1,2 & 3): 29-32.
- Tokatlidis, I.S and Koutroubas, S.D. 2004. A review of maize hybrids dependence on high plant populations and its implications for crop yield stability. Field Crop Research.88: 103-104.
- Ummed Singh, Saad, A.A., Ram, T., Lek Chand, Mir, S.A and Aga, F.A. 2012. Productivity, economics and nitrogen –use efficiency of sweet corn (*Zea mays*Saccharata) as influenced by planting geometry and nitrogen fertilization. Indian Journal of Agronomy.57(1):43-48.
- Vega, C.R.C., Andrade, F.H and Sadras, V.O. 2001. Reproductive partitioning and seed set efficiency in soybean, sunflower and maize. Field Crops Research.72:165-173.
- Vinod Kumar Wasnik, Reddy, A.P.K and Sudhansu S. Kasbe. 2012. Performance of winter maize under different rates of nitrogen and plant population in Southern Telangana region. Crop Research.44(3):269-273.

- Watson, D.J., 1952. The physiological basis of variation in yield. *Advances in agronomy*, 4, pp.101-145.
- Welde, K. and Gebremariam, H.L., 2016. Effect of different furrow and plant spacing on yield and water use efficiency of maize. *Agricultural Water Management*, 177, pp.215-220.
- Zaman Khan, H., Shahid Iqbal, Asif Iqbal, Nadeem Akbar and Davey L. Jones. 2011. Response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) varieties to different levels of nitrogen. Crop & Environment. 2(2): 15-19.
- Zamir, M.S.I., Ahmad, A.H. Javveed, H.M.RandLatif, T. 2011. Growth and behaviour of two maize hybrids (Zeamays L.) towards different plant spacing. Cerctari Agronomice in Moldova. 14(2):33-40.