Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_130130
Title of the Manuscript:	Synergistic effects of Organic Manures and Biofertilizers on the Growth Performance of Cauliflower (Brassica oleracea L
Type of the Article	Original

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (<i>I</i> part in the manuscript. his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript presents significant findings on the synergistic effects of organic manures and biofertilizers on the growth performance of cauliflower, a crucial crop in horticulture. By exploring different combinations of biofertilizers and organic amendments like vermicompost and FYM, the study contributes valuable insights into sustainable agricultural practices that enhance plant growth while reducing dependency on chemical fertilizers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. However, it could be slightly refined for conciseness and readability as, "Synergistic Effects of Organic Manures and Biofertilizers on the Growth and Yield of Cauliflower (Brassica oleracea L. var. botrytis) cv. Pusa Snowball-1"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In the Results section, While some results are mentioned, it would be helpful to highlight the most significant findings in terms of both growth performance and economic outcomes (net profit and B:C ratio). This could give readers a clearer understanding of the importance of the study.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Based on the provided details, the manuscript appears to be scientifically correct in its general structure and approach, but there are a few areas like Measurement of Growth Parameters, Specific Cultivar where additional clarification or potential improvements may be needed for scientific rigor:	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Satisfied	
Optional/General comments	The treatments are mentioned as combinations of biofertilizers and manures (e.g., 50% Biofertilizer + 50% Vermicompost), but the specific types or formulations of biofertilizers and organic manures (e.g., specific strains of biofertilizers, types of manure) should be stated for more clarity. This information will help other researchers replicate the experiment. The manuscript could become even more impactful and accessible to a wider audience in the scientific and agricultural community.	

L. var. botrytis) cv. Pusa Snowball-1

(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that ot. It is mandatory that authors should write e)		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if a highlight that part in the write his/her feedback he
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Suya Padhra Haridha
Department, University & Country	A.P.C. Mahalaxmi Collge for Women, India

if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should (here)