

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_129843
Title of the Manuscript:	Soil Pollution: Sources, Effects, and Mitigation Strategies
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This comprehensive review addresses the critical issue of soil pollution by synthesizing current knowledge on its sources, effects, and mitigation strategies, making it an essential resource for environmental researchers and policymakers. The manuscript's thorough examination of both traditional and emerging remediation technologies provides valuable insights for developing effective soil restoration approaches. Its integrated framework for understanding and combating soil contamination at multiple scales makes it particularly relevant for advancing sustainable environmental management practices.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<div>a. The abstract requires quantitative data on global soil pollution impacts and specific examples of key pollutants to strengthen its scientific foundation.</div> <div>b. Critical additions should include the economic costs of soil contamination, successful bioremediation case studies, and precise ecological impacts rather than general environmental statements.</div> <div>c. The abstract's conclusion should highlight the review's distinct contribution to soil pollution research and management strategies rather than ending with a generic call for integrated action.</div>	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. It presents accurate information about soil pollution mechanisms, sources, effects, and mitigation strategies.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references (2007-2019) need updating since many are over 5 years old. Please add more with five years updated references.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript's technical writing requires professional editing to address inconsistent terminology usage and problematic sentence structures that impair its scholarly quality. Run-on sentences and redundant phrasing are particularly evident in sections 3 and 4, where technical concepts would benefit from more precise language. The inconsistent verb tense usage throughout the text needs standardization to align with academic writing conventions and enhance the manuscript's scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	None	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ganjar Samudro
Department, University & Country	Universitas Diponegoro, Indonesia