

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_131132
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTHROPOMETRIC STUDY OF ANGLE OF HUMERAL TORSION OF SOUTH-SOUTH, SOUTH-EAST OF NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The comparison of study parameters with other races would have been included as it is mentioned in the objectives of the study. In methodology, the statistical tests and software selected may be added What are the limitations of present study?	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The aim of the study and conclusion do not match. Kindly modify the conclusion in abstract	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Furthermore, studies of individuals, whether participants in selected sports, show that humeral torsion, rather than being a static attribute that encodes only phylogenetic information, actually is developmentally dynamic in its morphological expression. Because the lateral rotators insert within the proximal epiphysis whereas most of the medial rotators act distally on the shaft, forces working in opposite directions during development normally add approximately 32° of secondary torsion. The extent of this secondary (developmental) torsion depends on a variety of factors (Kindly add the reference for these statements)	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	The aim of the study and conclusion of the study do not match. Conclusion may be modified.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arunkumar K R
Department, University & Country	Nandha Medical College and Hospital, The Tamil Nadu Dr MGR Medical University, India