

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nephrology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRN_131117
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on Chronic Kidney Disease and Associated Lifestyle Risk Factors among the Patients of Different Hospital in Dhaka City
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript really hit the nail on it head by by going extra mile to analysed the life style risks factors one by one as captured in the results and discussion section.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I will suggest having a title as Analytical Studies on Chronic Kidney Disease and Associated Lifestyle Risk Factors among the Patients of Different Hospital in Dhaka City	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract not included	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, but orderly I think it should be arranged as follows 1. Abstract 2. Introduction 3. Material and Methods 4. Result and Discussion 5. Conclusion 6. References	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Firstly the references are not sufficiently enough, Secoond there is need to follow the APA format of refrencing.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, only for some typographically errors in a statement that needs to be prove read and corrected by the author. E.g Under recommendations item number 9, the statement reads healthy people should “ <u>eat</u> ” plenty of water, instead of “ <u>drink</u> ” .	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscripts is really worth publishing because it captures a lot of contribution to the existing body of knowledge on CKD and its associated risk factors	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Danjuma Abdulazeez
Department, University & Country	Federal Polytechnic Damaturu, Nigeria