

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_131068
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidemiological, clinical, biological and therapeutic profile of bacterial meningitis and factors associated with lethality: a retrospective study in the Fann Infectious and Tropical Diseases Service (2020-2023)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript refers to a small region with small sample size, could be a help from the local epidemiological point of view, however the short comings of the manuscript have been highlighted.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Clinico-epidemiological factors, outcome and antibacterial profile of meningitis patients- retrospective study from Fann Infectious and Tropical Diseases Service.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is acceptable.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The changes needed have been highlighted in the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Acceptable.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No.	
Optional/General comments	Address the highlighted text with comments attached: There a lot of grammatic mistakes. Many queries in the content asked in comments attached.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Munaza Aman
Department, University & Country	Sher-I-Kashmir Institute of Medical Sciences, India