## **Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_130498
Title of the Manuscript:	SARS-COV- 2 Pandemic: Evaluating Early Public Health Intervention in Wuhan City, China
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study's context is unclear. Highlight why this analysis remains relevant post-pandemic. For example, link early interventions to ongoing public health reforms, vaccine equity, or pandemic preparedness strategies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The tittle is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In relevancewith the given comments the abstract can be improved	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Scientifically the manuscript is correct, but it would be great to follow reporting guidelines for the review	N
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	

Created by: DR Checked by: PMApproved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

# **Review Form 3**

Is the language/English quality of the article Yes suitable for scholarly communications?		
2. Ir is tt 3. T si u 4. It a b c 5. A e a 6. T ir 7. W tt o 8. T a	The study's context lacks clarity. Consider emphasizing its relevance in the post-pandemic era by inking early interventions to ongoing public health reforms, vaccine equity, and strategies for candemic preparedness.  In line with the above, the historical context of previous coronavirus outbreaks (SARS and MERS) is well-detailed. Still, the connection to the current pandemic could be made clearer to highlight the relevance of past experiences in shaping current responses.  The article does not explicitly describe its methodological framework. It is unclear whether the study adopts a systematic review, scoping review, or another formal methodology. This omission undermines the research's reproducibility.  It does not mention how sources were selected, appraised, or excluded. For example:  a) Were the included sources peer-reviewed?  b) Was there a time limit for published articles (e.g., only studies from December 2019 onward)?  C) Were any biases in the data addressed (e.g., reliance on WHO-China reports)?  Although the article discusses migration and its impact on the spread of the virus, it does not employ any quantitative data analysis or modelling to substantiate these claims. This weakens the argument's empirical rigour.  The methodology does not account for interviews, surveys, or primary data collection, such as nsights from healthcare workers or policymakers in Wuhan. This limits the depth of the analysis. While the article mentions using PubMed and Google Scholar, it does not provide details about the specific keywords, search terms, or filters applied during the data-gathering process. This lack of transparency affects replicability.  The evaluation of public health interventions lacks a clear framework or metrics. For instance:  a) How was the success or failure of interventions measured?  b) Were other evaluation frameworks (e.g., WHO's Health Emergency Preparedness frameworks) considered?	

### PART 2:

		Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Jamshid Ullah
Department, University & Country	IQRA National University, Pakistan

Created by: DR Checked by: PMApproved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)