Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_130385
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study of Gonadal Hormones in HIV Seropositive Subjects Attending the ART Center at K.R. Hospital, a Tertiary Care Hospital in Mysuru
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The importance of the study lies in understanding the impact of HIV and antiretroviral therapy (ART) on gonadal hormones. The study showed significant improvement in testosterone and LH levels in males after 6 months of treatment, reflecting ART's role in correcting hormonal imbalances. However, females did not show a similar improvement in hormone levels, indicating gender differences in response to treatment. The study also revealed a significant improvement in the prevalence of hypogonadism (from 18.57% to 69.23%) after therapy. These findings confirm ART's role in improving hormonal health and quality of life for HIV patients. The study also provides a foundation for future research on the effects of ART on hormones and reproductive health.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it seems appropriate, but the name can be slightly modified to highlight the the study focus more on hormonal changes associated with the treatment ART It could be "Gonadal Hormonal Changes in HIV-Positive Individuals Following ART at K.R. Hospital, Mysuru	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, its good But, hormone changes, especially in females, do not have specific statistical data. Also including p-values or statistical significance for the overall changes in hormone levels (even if not significant) for both males and females would improve the scientific rigor of the abstract.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Overall the scientific content is accurate.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, that's quite enough	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the article is generally suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	Some notes: The tone should be more formal and concise. For example: "While ART has been effective at restoring immune function and reducing viral load in patients,etc, This sentence can be more directly stated as: "Although ART has restored immune function and reduced viral load, there has been limited investigation into its effects on endocrine function, specifically gonadal hormones." Phrases like "HIV AIDS" can be written more consistently as either "HIV/AIDS" or "HIV and AIDS" for readability and to avoid redundancy. For example: "People with HIV AIDS do present with a variety of endocrine disorders" could be revised to "People with HIV/AIDS present with a variety of endocrine disorders"	
	Address ethical limitations in more detail.	
	Cite additional studies that support or contradict the findings.	
	• Expand the discussion on the impact of ART on women: While the study mentions changes in hormonal levels in female participants, a more detailed explanation of the underlying reasons for the smaller improvements in women compared to men would be beneficial. This could include a deeper exploration of physiological differences between genders, hormonal responses, or potential external factors that may influence the results.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jihan Mohammed Mohieldin Mohammed
Department, University & Country	University of Science and Technology, Sudan

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)