

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_130754
Title of the Manuscript:	DIVERSITY OF ANGIOSPERMS AT MACHIGAD FORT, HALASHI, KAHANAPUR, BELAGAVI
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This work serves as a bibliographic source on the flora of a locality in India, featuring a range of relevant species. However, it is not clear from the text whether this is the first floristic study conducted for this locality.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, but which country?	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, but there are many sentences that are disconnected from previous sentences. This should be corrected and improved throughout the manuscript.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Partially. The introduction does not contextualize the topic of the work. The information is presented in a disjointed manner without a clear connection between paragraphs and sentences. The general objective of the work was not presented. The conclusion of the manuscript is not clear and repeats information presented in the results.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are adequate, but should be reviewed in the References section. Some references are not in the text, but appear in the References section.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Partially. Many sentences in the methodology are in the future, but the correct tense would be in the past, since the work has already been carried out.	
Optional/General comments	I have left some comments throughout the text. I suggest that this manuscript be carefully revised for writing and paragraph organization. Some figures in the article were not mentioned throughout the text.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Diego Nunes da Silva
Department, University & Country	Instituto de Pesquisas Jardim Botânico do Rio de Janeiro, Brazil