

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_127504
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimation of Ursolic acid in Bauhinia racemosa Lam. by HPTLC method
Type of the Article	Can accepted with Minor Corrections. This descriptive study should elaborate with efficient discussion and attain beneficial information to Herbal medicine practitioners and the public.

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like this study Because Ursolic acid more beneficial for human beings as to prevents obesity and hyperglycaemic effect. But prolong traditional usage does not explain scientifically, so this kind of evidence-based study will help the people who believe in traditional medicine, who try to prove the scientific valuation under traditional medical system.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Analysis of Ursolic acid in Bauhinia Racemosa Lam. leaves by HPTLC method	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In line number 8, the sentence of various diseases should elaborate	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientifically ok, in this study the sample preparation method should mention in proper place, check with the article	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Should increases, for the discussion part should add some evidences.	
Minor REVISION comments	Ok	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Very good study, if your identify the Ursolic acid in this plant you should explain the benefit of B.recemoses with correlation with indication. Other than that traditionally various parts of plants used for deferent preparation method then better to compare the HPTLC study for deferent part of same plant. PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bamini Mithurendran
Department, University & Country	Trincomalee Campus, Eastern University Sri Lanka, Sri Lanka