

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_130781
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Gypsum Application on Salinity Stress Mitigation at Different Growth Stages of Boro Rice cv. BRRI dhan47
Type of the Article	Research

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article shows if use of saline water can be an alternative for rice irrigation. Simultaneously effect of gypsum is also studied alongwith saline irrigation which is worth for study for scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are suitable and recent.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English language is scholarly.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Studies have been done systematically, suitable for publication. Studies have been done sequentially. Proper replicates were taken and results were analysed with reference to control. Patameters of study are correct. Ststistical analysis is also done.References are recent.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shobha Johari
Department, University & Country	L. B. S. Government College, India