Review Form 3

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJRCOS_131267**
Title of the Manuscript:	**The synergistic Role of Machine Learning, Deep Learning, and Reinforcement Learning in Strengthening Cyber Security Measures for Crypto Currency Platforms**
Type of the Article	

<mark>**PART 1:**</mark> Comments

	Reviewer's comment <mark>**Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.**</mark>	**Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? **(If not please suggest an alternative title)**		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Overall Manuscript is acceptable with minor revisions *I recommend revising to* ***Abstract:*** ☐ Revising it to emphasize the broader impact and potential applications of the research would make it more compelling ***Methodology:*** ***Result:*** ☐ Descriptive Statistics of Cryptocurrency Transactions using charts ☐ Shows the analysis of different ML algorithms on specific cryptocurrency datasets or all datasets which you used ☐ Explain the importance parameters used in tables and write their values in table ☐ Tables presented with columns as rows for clearer differentiation ***Writing and Presentation:*** ☐ While the writing is generally clear, the Methodology and Results sections are dense with technical jargon. Simplifying explanations or including a glossary for technical terms would make the paper more accessible to a wider audience	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Nikita Yogesh Kapadnis**
Department, University & Country	**Pune Institute of Computer Technology, India**