

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_131198
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Effectiveness of Cybersecurity Frameworks in Mitigating Cyberattacks in the Banking Sector and Its Applicability to Decentralized Finance (DeFi)
Type of the Article	JOURNAL (Academic Research)

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study contribute to the existing body of knowledge on cyber security in financial institutions, pointing out key challenges and proffering solutions to enhance cyber resilience in both conventional banking and Decentralized finance (DeFi)	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Evaluatiing the Effectiveness of Cybersecurity Frameworks in Mitigating Cyberattacks in the Banking Sector and Its Applicability to Decentralized Finance (DeFi) (the research work has elements of comparative study, evaluating can be a more prefer term in the title)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, however the pattern of citation is not in compliance with APA style (latest edition). Using et’al for first citation within an article is not appropriate. Et’al should be use for subsequent citation e.g don’t use Dawodu, et’al(2023) for first citation within a work rather use Dawodu, Omotosho, Akindote., Adegbite, Ewuga, & Author (2023) . Dawodu et’al (2023) can be subsequently used within thesame work	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	The work is publishable with minor correction. Strengthen your argument in the introductory paragraph (1) and make it longer by expanding on the idea with supporting details. The introductory paragraph (1) is too short Report at least the summary 5 related empirical studies (title, objectives, findings & objectives) in your literature review	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aji, Kingsley Olawale
Department, University & Country	FCT College of Education, Nigeria