

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_130757
Title of the Manuscript:	Advances in Skin Cancer Detection Using Machine Learning: Current Methods and Future Directions
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses an important topic in medical diagnostics, focusing on advancements in skin cancer detection using machine learning. It provides a comprehensive review of methodologies and identifies areas of improvement, benefiting both researchers and clinicians.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate and reflects the manuscript's content. Alternatively, consider: "Current Innovations and Challenges in Skin Cancer Detection through Machine Learning."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract covers the purpose and findings but could emphasize the unique contributions of this work compared to existing studies. Consider specifying datasets used and key findings for clarity.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically sound but needs further elaboration on dataset preprocessing methods, parameter tuning for models, and evaluation metrics	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and recent. However, incorporating more citations related to Vision Transformers in skin cancer detection would strengthen the study.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is suitable for scholarly communication but could be improved for clarity and conciseness. There are some redundant phrases and grammatical inconsistencies.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is a valuable contribution but requires revisions to enhance clarity, highlight contributions, and address concerns regarding dataset use and privacy.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Zunnurain Hussain
Department, University & Country	Bahria University, Pakistan