

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_130435
Title of the Manuscript:	Detecting Diabetic Retinopathy Using Machine Learning Algorithms: A Review
Type of the Article	Review

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>This article provides a comprehensive study of the work done in the field of diabetic retinopathy detection using machine learning and artificial intelligence. This article discusses about different machine learning techniques used in recent years for detecting such a severe illness. Users will get good information about this field.</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes, the title of this article is appropriate. And it matches with the respective domain.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	There are some grammatical mistakes present in the abstract section. Also, the author has mentioned "research" article in line number 9. As this is a review article therefore, the typo must be fixed.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes, the manuscript sounds technically correct, as it has provided a brief study of the respective field.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The number of references is sufficient, but some of the references are from the year 2020. So, the author should include references from the recent years.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Some of the words are difficult to understand, I would suggest author to use simple and easy language to understand. Grammatical errors (such as sub-point 3.3 it should be semi-supervised) are present in the manuscript, a careful revision must be done by the author.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>Overall, the manuscript is good, some changes must be done by author such as grammatical errors and author should include more recent references instead of old references.</b>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Aditya Sharma</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>CSMSS College of Polytechnic, India</b>