Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_130424
Title of the Manuscript:	Bridging Gaps in Cybersecurity Governance: Leveraging Collaborative Digital Solutions
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript, "Bridging Gaps in Cybersecurity Governance: Leveraging Collaborative Digital Solutions," provides a valuable contribution to the scientific community by addressing critical challenges in cybersecurity governance within the educational sector. It offers empirical evidence and actionable recommendations for implementing collaborative digital solutions to overcome barriers like resource constraints and regulatory compliance. The findings are timely, given the escalating sophistication of cyber threats in education, making this study relevant to both academia and policymakers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable as it clearly conveys the focus of the study. However, if simplification is needed, consider: "Collaborative Digital Solutions to Address Cybersecurity Governance Gaps in Education.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive, summarizing the study's objectives, methodologies, findings, and recommendations. However, including more specific examples of collaborative solutions (e.g., EduCERT or MS-ISAC) would enhance its clarity and appeal.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. It employs appropriate methodologies, including descriptive analysis, Difference-in-Differences (DiD), and logistic regression, which are well-suited for the research objectives. The statistical interpretations and recommendations align with the presented data.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are extensive and generally up-to-date, with citations spanning 2021–2024. However, additional references on global collaborative initiatives (e.g., APAC or African educational cybersecurity collaborations) could enhance the study's scope.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is clear and suitable for scholarly communications. Minor grammatical improvements (e.g., restructuring long sentences in the discussion section) could further enhance readability.	
Optional/General comments	 Figures and tables are well-constructed and effectively support the findings. Consider integrating examples of how collaborative solutions address specific cyber incidents for greater impact. 	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

<u>PART 2:</u>

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Syed Arif Islam
Department, University & Country	Karpagam Academy of Higher Education, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)