Review Form 3

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJRCOS_130423**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Overview of Algorithms for Image Recognition**
Type of the Article	

<mark>PART 1:</mark> **Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community as it contributes valuable insights into the image recognition research area. It enhances our understanding and paves the way for future studies in the field. Furthermore, the methodologies and conclusions outlined in this manuscript have the potential to inspire interdisciplinary collaboration and innovation. However, it appears that the performance evaluation of the algorithm is conducted primarily on a theoretical basis. The evidence of practical implementation, including details about the dataset used, the algorithm's application, and how the practical results are compared for a comprehensive analysis, is not clearly outlined in this paper	
Is the title of the article suitable? **(If not please suggest an alternative title)**	Comparative analysis of image recognition algorithms	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The text effectively summarizes the paper's focus on image recognition technologies, highlighting both theoretical advancements and practical implications. With minor improvements in explanation and organization, it could serve as an excellent introduction to the topic for a broad audience.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	☐ Some sentences could be condensed to improve readability without sacrificing depth. ☐ Technical terms like SIFT, HOG, CNN, and Vision Transformers might benefit from brief definitions or explanations for readers less familiar with the field. ☐ The transitions between paragraphs could be smoother to enhance the overall coherence of the text.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is good, however, it could have been improved.	
Optional/General comments		

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Amitabh Amaresh Halder**
Department, University & Country	**Ssesa's Science College, India**