

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_130212
Title of the Manuscript:	ISOLATION AND SCREENING OF PLANT GROWTH PROMOTING RHIZOBACTERIA (PGPR) ISOLATS DURING DIFFERENT SEASONS FROM SOUTH GUJARAT
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Researcher draft Manuscripts is helpful for scientific community as well as general population. This is beneficial research for application in field but need to identify that bacteria. This contributes to our understanding of how nitrogen fixation, phosphorus solubilization and potash mobilization takes place.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No not suitable. Please change to "Isolation and Screening of Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) Isolates Across Different Seasons in South Gujarat"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes Abstract comprehensive. No need of addition or deletion. It gives a clear summary of the study's methodology, findings, research question, and implications. Additionally, the abstract is clear and well-written.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Almost manuscript is correct required little bit corrections. The results are presented clearly and succinctly, and the approach is sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No references are not sufficient add more recent references. The writers add reference regarding these isolates <i>Streptomyces</i> , <i>Enterobacter</i> and <i>Bacillus</i>	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes article suitable for scholarly communications.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The work is interesting and well-written overall, in my opinion. It significantly advances the subject of sentiment analysis, and a variety of scholars are likely to find it interesting. Noethicalissue	

--	--	--

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Satyam
Department, University & Country	Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidhyapeeth, India