

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_129900
Title of the Manuscript:	Variation in total sugar and soluble protein contents during the ripening of the fruit of <i>Pancovia laurentii</i> (De Wild.) Gilg ex De (Sapindaceae)
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study give a valuable response about the possibility to determine the total sugar and protein contents during of the fruit of Pancovia laurentii.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is fit	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Excellent, no changes required	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are up to date with 50% but the authors should explain their results and cite at least 25 reference to improve their work	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article must be checked for grammatical and typo error by a native speaker	
Optional/General comments	<p><b>The authors should explain Figure 3.</b> Variation of soluble protein content in the mesocarp and kernel of Pancovia laurentii fruit during</p> <p><b>XRD is highly recommended for the isolated sugars and the remaining compounds should also mentioned in the manuscript.</b></p> <p><b>I suggest to rewrite the introduction sect to show the important for the study</b></p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmed R. Mahmood
Department, University & Country	Imam Ja'afar Al-Sadiq University, Iraq