# Fluxes of methane gases (CH$_4$) in the mangrove sediment of Negeri Passo, Inner Ambon Bay

**Abstract**

Mangrove ecosystems play a significant role in carbon sequestration. However, the accumulation of organic matter in mangrove sediments undergoes decomposition, which triggers the release of CH$_4$ gas flux. This study aims to analyze the CH$_4$ gas flux in the mangrove sediments of Negeri Passo, Inner Ambon Bay. Gas sampling was conducted using a cylindrical chamber at three observation stations. Gas was collected using a syringe five times at 30-second intervals. The gas concentration was analyzed using gas chromatography, while the CH$_4$ flux was calculated using a flux equation that considers the regression slope, chamber volume and area, temperature, gas molecular weight, ideal gas constant (R), and time constant based on the gas sampling interval. The results showed that the average CH$_4$ concentration was 22.46 ppm. The highest concentration was found at Station 2, with 33.33 ppm, and the lowest at Station 3, with 14.40 ppm. The average CH$_4$ flux was 3.2194 mg/m²/h. The highest CH$_4$ flux was observed at Station 3, with 4.8727 mg/m²/h, while the lowest was at Station 1, with 1.3421 mg/m²/h. Based on these findings, it can be concluded that the mangrove ecosystem in Negeri Passo has a relatively higher CH$_4$ flux compared to other locations within the Inner Ambon Bay area. Additionally, the significant carbon sequestration potential, as indicated by the Tier 1 model approach, suggests that this mangrove ecosystem plays a crucial role in climate change mitigation.

**Keyword**: CH$_4$ fluxes, climate change, mangrove sediment, global warming potential.

> **Commented [IB1]:** Why were only three stations selected? What considerations were taken into account in choosing these stations? Is there a rationale behind the selection, or were the sampling stations chosen randomly?

## Introduction

Mangrove ecosystems are characterized by high biodiversity of both flora and fauna (Rahman et al., 2024a). These ecosystems have gained significant attention due to their role in carbon sequestration (Rahman et al., 2024), making them a potential nature-based solution for addressing and mitigating global warming (Huxham et al., 2023). Various studies indicate that mangrove ecosystems make a significant contribution to carbon sequestration. Consequently, the potential carbon stock approach has become a new paradigm in sustainable mangrove ecosystem management (Sidik et al., 2023). However, in addition to storing carbon, mangroves can also be a source of greenhouse gas emissions, including methane (CH$_4$) (Rahman et al., 2018), primarily through microbial respiration and the decomposition of organic matter via acidogenic or methanogenic processes in mangrove sediments (Rahman et al., 2020a).

CH$_4$ gas flux from mangrove sediments is a crucial indicator that reflects the balance between the absorption and release of greenhouse gases within these ecosystems. CH$_4$ flux fluctuations can be influenced by various environmental factors, such as temperature, humidity, and the composition of organic matter (Chauhan et al., 2015). Therefore, understanding CH$_4$ flux from mangrove sediments is essential for the effective management and conservation of mangrove ecosystems, particularly in the context of climate change mitigation.

Negeri Passo, located in Ambon City, has extensive mangrove areas and is a key site for coastal ecosystem research (Pietersz et al., 2024). However, research on CH$_4$ gas flux from mangrove sediments in this area remains limited. This study aims to measure and analyze the CH$_4$ gas flux from mangrove sediments in Negeri Passo.

The findings of this study are expected to contribute to a deeper understanding of carbon dynamics within mangrove ecosystems and provide recommendations for more effective mangrove conservation and management efforts in Negeri Passo, Inner Ambon Bay (TAD).

Thus, this research not only holds scientific value but also has practical implications for climate change mitigation and environmental preservation.

**Methodology**

**Description of Study Sites**

The study was conducted in July 2022 in the mangrove ecosystem area of Negeri Passo. The mangroves of Negeri Passo cover an area of 21.66 hectares, with a very dense canopy cover reaching 62.70% (Pietersz et al., 2024). Residential areas are located on the western and eastern sides of this ecosystem (Figure 1), where the potential for organic matter accumulation from domestic waste is increasing (Kesaulya et al., 2023).



**Figure 1.** Map of the Study Location: Mangrove Ecosystem of Negeri Passo

**Gas Sampling**

Gas sampling was conducted at three stations or sediment points in the mangrove area, primarily near residential areas. While these sampling points are not fully representative of the entire ecosystem, they are reasonably acceptable for a preliminary study to assess the potential gas flux from mangrove sediments.

At each sampling point (sediment), a single cylindrical chamber (V = 17 L, A = 0.0616 m²) was placed (Figure 2) with two repetitions. During each repetition, gas was sampled five times using a syringe at 30-second intervals: 0s, 30s, 60s, 90s, and 120s, following the method of Nazareth and Gonsalves (2022). The collected gas was then transferred into 10 ml airtight vials and sent to the Greenhouse Gas Laboratory at the Agricultural Instrument Standardization Center (BSIP) in Pati Regency, Central Java.

**Figure 2.** Gas Sampling Using a Cylindrical Chamber

**Analysis of $CH_4$ Gas Concentration**

The concentration of $CH_4$ gas was analyzed using the Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) method. In this method, a sample of 2-3 mL of gas was drawn from the vial using a syringe and introduced into the Thermal Conductivity Detector (TCD). The resulting gas concentration analysis is presented in parts per million (ppm).

**Analysis of $CH_4$ Gas Fluxes**

The flux of $CH_4$ gas was analyzed based on the greenhouse gas flux equation developed by Rahman et al. (2020b; 2023). Mathematically, the greenhouse gas flux equation can be expressed as follows:

$$F = \left| \frac{S * V * t * mW}{(RT * A)} \right|$$

Explanation:

F = $CH_4$ gas flux (mg/m²/h)

S = Regression slope of $CH_4$ concentration measured at each 30-second interval (ppm/s)

V = Volume of the cylindrical chamber (L)

A = Surface area of the chamber (m²)

t = Time transformation factor (1 hour divided by the sampling interval = 3600s/30s or 120)

R = Ideal gas constant (0.082 L.atm/K.mol)

T = Temperature inside the chamber or air temperature (K)

mW = Molar mass of $CH_4$ (16 g/mol)

**Global Warming Potential**

Global Warming Potential (GWP) is a metric used to compare the extent to which a specific greenhouse gas can absorb and emit heat in the atmosphere relative to carbon dioxide ($CO_2$), which is used as a reference with a GWP value of 1. GWP calculates the warming effect of greenhouse gases over a specified period, typically 20, 100, or 500 years (IPCC, 2001). According to the IPCC (2001), the GWP of $CH_4$ gas flux over a 100-year period is equivalent to 28 times that of $CO_2$. Mathematically, the GWP of $CH_4$ can be formulated as follows:

$$F_e = Fm \ x \ GWP$$

Where $F_e$ represents the $CO_2$-equivalent flux value (mg/m²/h) as an approximation of the Global Warming Potential (GWP), $Fm$ represents the carbon gas flux (mg/m²/h), and GWP represents the Global Warming Potential value of carbon gas, which is the conversion of the emission value per mole of $CH_4$ gas equivalent to 28 times the $CO_2$-e emissions over a 100-year period.

**Result and Discussion**

**Concentration of $CH_4$ Gases**

The average $CH_4$ concentrations at St. 1, St. 2, and St. 3 were 19.65 ppm, 33.33 ppm, and 14.40 ppm, respectively (Figure 3). These $CH_4$ concentration values at the three stations were significantly higher compared to the findings of Rahman et al. (2024) in the mangrove sediments of Poka Village, which ranged from 1.80 to 3.60 ppm. They were also higher than the findings of Tubalawony et al. (2024), who reported $CH_4$ concentrations in Tanjung Tiram ranging between 1.7329 and 2.0786 ppm. Statistically, the average $CH_4$ concentration in the mangrove sediments of Passo Village differed significantly at a 95% confidence level, with a P-value of 0.0360 ($P < 0.05$). This significant difference may be attributed to the sediment characteristics at each observation station. This assertion is supported by the findings of Rahman et al. (2024c) and Tubalawony et al. (2024), who found significant differences in $CH_4$ gas concentrations in sandy mud, muddy sand, and sand sediments within the mangrove ecosystems of Poka Village and Tanjung Tiram, with *P-values* ranging from 0.0281 to 0.0450 ($P < 0.05$).

The high $CH_4$ concentrations at all observation stations indicate the accumulation of organic material in the mangrove sediment areas. Furthermore, this accumulation is not counterbalanced by active flushing processes. This is because the Passo Village mangrove ecosystem is in a semi-enclosed bay area, specifically the Inner Ambon Bay (TAD). Semi-enclosed waters have a significantly longer organic material flushing time compared to open waters. In this context, Salamena et al. (2022) reported that the flushing time for organic material entering TAD waters can reach 14 days, particularly during the rainy season. Meanwhile, in the relatively open waters of Outer Ambon Bay (TAL), the flushing time for organic material is shorter, approximately 1.5 weeks or 9-10 days (Salamena et al., 2023).

In addition to the semi-enclosed water conditions, the accumulation of organic material in the mangrove sediments is also influenced by the very high density of mangroves in Passo Village. According to Pietersz et al. (2024), the mangrove ecosystem in this location ranges from dense to very dense. The combination of low flushing time and high organic input leads to a reduction in oxygen availability. Consequently, anaerobic methanogenic reactions occur, which trigger an increase in $CH_4$ concentration (Rahman et al., 2024c).

**Figure 3.** CH₄ Gas Concentration in Mangrove Sediments of Passo Village, Inner Ambon Bay. (Mean concentrations differ significantly at $\alpha = 0.05$; $P = 0.3604$).

**Fluxes of CH₄ Gases**

The average CH$_4$ flux was 3.29 mg/m²/h. The highest CH$_4$ gas flux was found at St. 3, measuring 4.87 mg/m²/h, while the lowest flux was recorded at St. 1, at 1.34 mg/m²/h (Figure 4). These findings are significantly higher than those reported by Rahman et al. (2024c) in the coastal area of Poka Village, which ranged from 0.0047 to 0.2154 mg/m²/h. Similarly, when compared to the findings of Tubalawony et al. (2024), which ranged between 0.1005 and 0.1794 mg/m²/h, the CH$_4$ flux in this study is markedly higher. The differences in CH$_4$ gas flux at each station may be attributed to sediment characteristics. Sandy sediments tend to have higher porosity, allowing for more rapid release of CH$_4$ from the sediment to the atmosphere. This is supported by the report from Dhandi et al. (2024), which found significant differences in gas flux between muddy and sandy sediments in the mangrove ecosystem of Negeri Lama.

However, further studies are needed to determine the factors influencing the differences in CH$_4$ concentrations or fluxes at each observation station. Although many studies have shown that water parameters such as temperature, salinity, and dissolved oxygen (DO) significantly affect the formation and release of greenhouse gases, the narrow range of these parameters makes it difficult to analyze their influence in determining the differences in concentration or flux values between observation points (Rahman et al., 2020a). Therefore, in this study, it is emphasized that further investigation is needed to understand the sediment characteristics at St. 1, St. 2, and St. 3.

**Figure 4**. CH$_4$ Gas Flux in Mangrove Sediments of Negeri Passo, TAD

**Global Warming Potential**

Methane (CH$_4$) is one of the most significant greenhouse gases after carbon dioxide. Methane's Global Warming Potential (GWP) is higher than that of CO$_2$, meaning that in equivalent amounts, methane has a greater ability to trap heat in the atmosphere (IPCC, 2001).

The total GWP in the mangrove sediments of Negeri Passo reached 92.16 mgCO$_2$-e/m²/hour. The highest GWP was contributed by Station 3, at 136.4347 mgCO$_2$-e/m²/hour, while the lowest was observed at Station 1, at 37.5780 mgCO$_2$-e/m²/hour (Table 1). These values correspond to the flux values at each station. The average CH$_4$ GWP in this study is significantly higher than the values reported by Kesaulya et al. (2023), Rahman et al. (2024c), and Tubalawony et al. (2024), with respective values of 7.0015 mg CO$_2$-e/m²/hour, 10.8304 mg CO$_2$-e/m²/hour, and 3.6907 mg CO$_2$-e/m²/hour (Figure 5).

The differences in GWP, which reflect variations in CH$_4$ flux, are indicative of differences in the input of accumulated organic material and are not consistent with oxygen content. This assertion certainly requires further study, but findings reported by Chauhan et al. (2015) and Nazareth and Gonsalves (2022) have confirmed this. Their studies demonstrate how environmental variables, particularly dissolved oxygen (DO), significantly influence the formation of CH$_4$ gas in mangrove sediments.

Table 1. GWP of CH$_4$ Gas Flux in the Mangrove Sediment of Negeri Passo, TAD

| No | | CH$_4$ Gas Flux (mg/m²/jam) | GWP (mgCO$_2$-e/m²/jam) |
|----|---------|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 | St. 1 | 1.3421 | 37.5780 |
| 2 | St. 2 | 3.6595 | 102.4672 |
| 3 | St. 3 | 4.8727 | 136.4347 |
| 4 | Average | 3.2914 | 92.1600 |

**Figure 5**. Variation in $CH_4$ Gas GWP Values in Mangrove Sediments Across Different Locations. Legend: A) This study, B) Mangrove Sediment of Waiheru Village (Kesaulya et al., 2023), C) Mangrove Sediment of Poka Village (Rahman et al., 2024c), and D) Mangrove Sediment of Tanjung Tiram Coast (Tubalawony et al., 2024).

## General Discussion

The dense condition of the mangrove ecosystem in Negeri Passo does not allow for carbon stock potential observations using a Tier 3 model or direct measurements. Therefore, in this situation, carbon estimation can be performed using a Tier 1 model, which involves estimation based on the extent of the mangrove area and the average global carbon stock (IPCC, 2001). According to Alongi (2014), the average global carbon stock is 956 tons C/ha. Based on this, the potential carbon stock of the Negeri Passo mangrove ecosystem is 20,706.96 tons C. If the average age of the mangroves is 20 years, the average $CO_2$ uptake, based on its molecular mass equivalence, is 175.27 tons $CO_2$-e/ha/year.

On the other hand, the average $CH_4$ GWP of 92.16 mg $CO_2$-e/m²/hour is equivalent to a carbon emission of 8.0732 tons $CO_2$-e/ha/year. Referring to these values, the Negeri Passo mangrove ecosystem still plays a significant role in reducing carbon emissions, including mitigating climate change. The carbon uptake surplus is relatively large, at 167.1934 tons $CO_2$-e/ha/year. While this approach is not entirely accurate, in the context of carbon dynamics estimation, the Tier 1 approach is highly scientific and acceptable. However, for a more accurate estimation, carbon dynamics assessments can be conducted comprehensively using a combination of Tier 1 models and complete greenhouse gas emissions assessments, including $CO_2$, $CH_4$, and $N_2O$.

## Conclusion

The mangrove ecosystem in Negeri Passo exhibits higher concentrations and fluxes of CH4 gas compared to the average concentrations reported in various studies from other locations. Additionally, the significant carbon sequestration potential, as estimated using the Tier 1 model approach, indicates that the mangrove ecosystem at this site plays a crucial role in climate change mitigation.

**Ethical Approval**

This study did not involve human or animal subjects, and therefore, ethical approval was not required (not applicable).

**Availability of Data and Materials**

The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

**References**

Alongi, D.M. 2014. Carbon cycling and storage in mangrove forests. *Annual Review of Marine Science*. 195 – 219. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-marine-010213-135020

Chauhan, R., Datta, A., Ramanathan, A.L., Adhya, T.K. 2015. Factors influencing spatio-temporal variation of methane and nitrous oxide emission from a tropical mangrove of eastern coast of India. *Atmospheric Environment*. 107: 95-106

Dhandi., Tuahatu, J.W., Pasanea, K., Rahman. 2024. Concentration and emission of carbon dioxide ($CO_2$) gas in mangrove sediments of Nania Village, Ambon City. *Coastal and Ocean Journal.* 8(1): 32-40.

Huxham, M., Kairu, A., Lang'at, J.A., Kivugo, R., Mwafrica, M., Huff, A., Shilland, R. 2023. Rawls in the mangrove: Perceptions of justice in nature-based solutions projects. *People and Nature.* 1-16. https://doi.org/10.1002/pan3.10498

IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change). 2001. Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis. Cambridge (US): Cambridge University Pr. pp 128-134.

Kesaulya, I., Rahman., Haumahu, S., Krisye. 2023. Global warming potential of carbon dioxide and methane emissions from mangrove sediments in Waiheru Coastal, Ambon Bay. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Enviromental Science. 1207(1), 012030.

Nazareth, D.R., Gonsalves, M.J. 2022. Influence of seasonal and environmental variables on the emission of methane from the mangrove sediments of Goa. *Environmental Monitoring Assessment.* 194(4): 249. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-021-09734-3

Pietersz, J.H., Pribadi, R., Pentury, R., Ario, R. 2024. Estimasi tutupan kanopi berdasarkan NDVI dan kondisi tutupan tajuk pada ekosistem mangrove Negeri Passo, Teluk Ambon Dalam. *Jurnal Kelautan Tropis*. 27(2): 197-208.

Rahman., Yulianda, F., Rusmana, I., Wardiatno, Y. 2018. Fluxes of greenhouse gases $CO_2$, $CH_4$ and $N_2O$ from mangrove soil in Tallo River, Makassar. *Jurnal Biologi Tropis*. 18: 149 – 58

Rahman., Wardiatno, Y., Yulianda, F., Rusmana, I., Bengen, D.G. 2020a. *Metode dan Analisis Studi Ekosistem Mangrove.* Bogor (ID): IPB Press. 124p.

Rahman., Wardiatno, Y., Yulianda, F., Rusmana I. 2020b. Seasonal fluxes of $CO_2$ , $CH_4$ and $N_2O$ greenhouse gases in various mangrove species on the coast of West Muna Regency, Southeast Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Plant Archives*. 20(2): 4301 – 4311.

Rahman., Wardiatno, Y., Yulianda, F., Lokollo, F.F., Rusmana, I. 2023. Emissions and potential of global warming of $N_2O$ gas of mangrove litter degradation on the West Muna Regency Coast. *Jurnal Ilmu Kehutanan.* 17(2): 127-134.

Rahman., Lokollo, F.F., Manuputty, G.D., Hukubun, R.D., Krisye., Maryono., Wawo, M., Wardiatno, Y. 2024a. A review on the biodiversity and conservation of mangrove ecosystems in Indonesia. *Biodiversity and Conservation.* 33(3): 875-903.

Rahman., Ceantury, A., Tuahatu, J.W., Lokollo, F.F., Supusepa, J., Hulopi, M., Permatahati, Y.I., Lewerissa, A., Wardiatno Y. 2024b. Mangrove ecosystem in Southeast Asia region: mangrove extent, blue carbon potential and $CO_2$ emission in 1996-2020. *Science of the Total Environment.* 915(3): 1-12.

Rahman., Kesaulya, I., La Ikbal. 2024c. Emisi gas rumah kaca ($CO_2$ dan $CH_4$) pada kawasan mangrove Desa Poka, Kota Ambon. *Journal of Environmental Sustainability Management*. 8(2): 38 – 52.

Salamena, G.G., Whinney, J.C., Heron, S.F., Ridd, P.V. 2022. Frontogenesis and estuarine circulation at the shallow sill of tropical fjord: Insights form Ambon Bay, Eastern Indonesia. *Regional Studies in Marine Science*. 56, 102696.

Salamena, G.G., Heron, S.F., Ridd, P.V., Whinney, J.C. 2023. A risk assessment of marine plastic in coastal waters of a small island: Lesson from Ambon Island, Eastern Indonesia. *Regional Studies in Marine Science*. 65, 103086.

Sidik, F., Lawrence, A., Wagey, T., Zamzani, F., Lovelock, C.E. 2023. Blue carbon: A new paradigm of mangrove conservation and management in Indonesia. *Marine Policy.* 147: 1-9.

Tubalawony, S., Mailoa, M.N., Rahman., Pasanea, K. 2024. Fluxes of methane gases ($CH_4$) in sediments of mangrove and seagrass ecosystems in Tanjung Tiram, Ambon Bay, Indonesia. Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Biology and Fisheries. 28(4): 951-963.