

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_130442
Title of the Manuscript:	COSTS AND RETURNS ANALYSIS OF CASSAVA PRODUCTION IN IJEBU-NORTH LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF OGUN-STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study on cost and returns analysis of cassava in the study area has great importance in the scientific community because it provides valid information on the profitability of the cassava enterprise. This serves as a feasibility study of the enterprise both for intending farmers and large scale investors in the enterprise. Information from the study also has implications for policy makers especially with regards to input availability and supply as well as cost of labour and access to credit. These factors have been seen from the study to greatly influence the production as well as profitability of cassava production in the study area.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is quite suitable. An alternative could be: PROFITABILITY ANALYSIS OF CASSAVA PRODUCTION IN IJEBU-NORTH AREA OF OGUN STATE, NIGERIA	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract seem to be quite okay.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct. It adopts the procedure of an acceptable scientific research.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are recent and quite sufficient. Additional references could also add further credibility to the work.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article has been presented in simple English the quality of which is suitable for scholarly communication.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Blessing Funmi Nkwelle
Department, University & Country	University of Benin, Nigeria