

Original Research Article

Effects of Irrigation Depth and Interval on Reproductive and Yield Components of Cucumber in Ogbomoso.

ABSTRACT

Water scarcity is a challenge to agricultural productivity, especially in tropical regions like Nigeria. This study investigated the effects of different irrigation regimes on the reproductive and yield components of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) in Ogbomoso, Nigeria. The experiment employed a split-plot randomized block design with three deficit irrigation depths (D_1 : 100% ETc, D_2 : 85% ETc, and D_3 : 70% ETc) as main plots and three irrigation intervals (I_1 : daily, I_2 : every 2 days, and I_3 : every 3 days) as sub-plots. Results showed that while irrigation depth did not significantly affect days to first flowering, longer irrigation intervals delayed flowering, with I_3 resulting in the longest time to flowering (28.69 days). Moderate deficit irrigation (D_2) produced longer fruits (13.96 cm) compared to full irrigation, while daily irrigation (I_1) resulted in significantly longer fruits (14.17 cm) than longer intervals. Unexpectedly, deficit irrigation (D_3) produced fruits with larger diameter (44.50 mm) compared to full irrigation (43.08 mm). Although not statistically significant, full irrigation (D_1) achieved the highest yield (8,738.79 kg/ha). The interaction between irrigation depth and interval revealed that treatment $D_1 \times I_1$ (100% ETc with daily irrigation) produced the highest yield (9,774.54 kg/ha) and earliest flowering (26.92 days), while $D_2 \times I_3$ resulted in the lowest yield (6,812.01 kg/ha). These findings suggest that while cucumber can maintain fruit quality under deficit irrigation, optimal yield and reproductive development are achieved under full irrigation with daily water application.

Keywords: Cucumber, irrigation regimes, deficit irrigation, yield components, reproductive development

1. INTRODUCTION

Water scarcity is becoming an increasingly critical challenge in agricultural production worldwide, particularly in tropical regions where climate change is exacerbating rainfall variability and drought conditions (Soujanya and Gurjar, 2024). In Nigeria, like many developing nations, the agricultural sector faces significant challenges in managing water resources efficiently while maintaining crop productivity (Adeyolanu and Okelola, 2024). Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.), a vital vegetable crop with significant economic and nutritional value, requires careful water management for optimal growth and yield (Liu *et al.*, 2021).

Cucumber production plays a crucial role in both subsistence and commercial agriculture in Nigeria, particularly in Ogbomoso where the tropical climate presents both opportunities and challenges for cultivation. The crop's high requirement, coupled with irregular rainfall patterns and increasing pressure on water resources, necessitates development of efficient irrigation strategies that can optimize water use while maintaining acceptable yield levels.

Comment [An1]: It is recommended that days be kept as whole numbers, as it is not possible to establish 28.6 days. It is necessary to say 28 or 29 days.

Comment [An2]: It is recommended that keywords are not found in the title.

Comment [An3]: Repeated paragraph. This statement has already been made before.

Deficit irrigation has emerged as a promising water management strategy in regions facing water scarcity. This approach deliberately allows crops to sustain some degree of water deficit and yield reduction (Asmamaw *et al.*, 2021; Champaneri *et al.*, 2024). However, the successful implementation of deficit irrigation requires a thorough understanding of crop responses to water stress at different growth stages and under varying irrigation frequencies. This knowledge is particularly crucial for cucumber production, as water stress can significantly impact both reproductive development and fruit quality (Parkash *et al.*, 2021; Shani and Musa, 2019).

The reproductive phase of cucumber is especially sensitive to water stress, with flowering time and fruit development being key indicators of plant response to irrigation management (Aparna *et al.*, 2023). Previous research has shown that water deficit can affect both the timing of flowering and the quality of fruits produced (Arshad, 2017; Bello *et al.*, 2023; Odhiambo and Aguyoh, 2022). However, the specific responses may vary depending on local environmental conditions, cultivar characteristics, and the timing and severity of water stress application.

In tropical regions like Ogbomoso, the combination of high temperatures and variable rainfall patterns creates unique challenges for irrigation management. The bimodal rainfall pattern, with peaks in June and September, leaves significant periods where supplemental irrigation is necessary for optimal crop production (Ogunbode and Ifabiyi, 2019). Understanding how different irrigation regimes affect cucumber production under these conditions is essential for developing locally adapted water management strategies (Magdalene *et al.*, 2024).

The optimization of irrigation scheduling requires consideration of both the amount of water applied (irrigation depth) and the frequency of application (irrigation interval). While reduced irrigation depth can lead to water savings, the timing of water application can be equally important in determining crop response and resource use efficiency (Taghvaeian *et al.*, 2020). The interaction between these factors becomes particularly relevant in systems where water availability is limited or where irrigation infrastructure poses constraints on application frequency (Abebe *et al.*, 2020).

Previous studies on cucumber irrigation under tropical field conditions have shown varying results, highlighting the need for location-specific research to develop appropriate irrigation recommendations. The present study was therefore designed to investigate the effects of different irrigation depths and intervals on the reproductive and yield components of cucumber under field conditions in Ogbomoso, Nigeria. The specific objectives were to evaluate the impact of deficit irrigation and varying irrigation frequencies on days to flowering, fruit characteristics (length and diameter), and total yield.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Study Site Description

The research was conducted at the Teaching and Research Farm of the Department of Agricultural Engineering, Ladoko Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso, Oyo State, Nigeria. The experimental site is geographically located at 8°10'06" N latitude and 4°16'12" E longitude. The region is characterized by a tropical climate with distinct wet and dry seasons. The wet season typically extends from April to October, while a relatively brief dry season occurs from November to March. The area receives an average annual rainfall of approximately 1200 mm, with characteristic bimodal peaks observed in June and September. The site experiences a mean annual temperature of 26°C, with variations ranging from 18 to 36°C. The relative humidity averages 74% annually, reaching its peak during the wet season (Abegunrin *et al.*, 2013).

2.2 Experimental Design and Treatments

The experiment employed a split-plot randomized complete block design with irrigation depth as the main plot factor and irrigation interval as the subplot factor. The main plot treatments consisted of three deficit irrigation depths based on crop evapotranspiration (ET_c): D₁: 100% ET_c (Control - full irrigation); D₂: 85% ET_c (Moderate deficit irrigation); D₃: 70% ET_c (Severe deficit irrigation). The subplot treatments comprised three irrigation intervals: I₁: Daily irrigation (every day); I₂: Two-day interval irrigation (every 2 days); I₃: Three-day interval irrigation (every 3 days).

Each treatment combination was replicated three times, resulting in 27 experimental plots. Individual plot size was 4.2 m × 0.9 m, with 1 m buffer zones between plots to minimize irrigation treatment interference.

2.3 Land Preparation and Crop Establishment

The experimental field was ploughed and harrowed to achieve a fine tilth suitable for cucumber cultivation. Raised beds were prepared with dimensions of 4.2 m length × 0.9 m width × 0.15 m height. Well-decomposed poultry manure was incorporated into the soil at a rate of 10 t/ha during bed preparation to improve soil fertility and water-holding capacity.

Cucumber seeds (variety "DARINA F1") were sown directly at a spacing of 90 cm between rows and 30 cm within rows. Two seeds were planted per hole at a depth of 2.5 cm, and thinning was performed two weeks after emergence to maintain one healthy seedling per stand.

2.4 Irrigation System Setup and Management

A drip irrigation system was installed to ensure precise water application according to the treatment specifications. The system consisted of a 1000 l water storage tank, 50.8 mm diameter main pipe, sub-main pipes, T-joint, end plugs, gum, gate valve, and 16 mm diameter laterals equipped with pressure compensating emitters. The irrigation system was calibrated before the experiment to ensure uniform water distribution. Drippers were tested for discharge uniformity, achieving a coefficient of uniformity greater than 90%.

2.5 Determination of Irrigation Requirements

Crop water requirements were calculated using the FAO Penman-Monteith method to estimate reference evapotranspiration (ET_o). The daily minimum and maximum temperature were gotten using a minimum and maximum thermometer while the daily relative humidity data for Ogbomoso was gotten from web-based source (Google Weather). Crop evapotranspiration (ET_c) was calculated using the Equation 1:

$$ET_c = K_c \times ET_o \quad 1$$

where:

ET_c is crop evapotranspiration (mm/day)

K_c is the crop coefficient for cucumber at different growth stages

ET_o is reference evapotranspiration (mm/day)

The crop coefficients used were: Initial stage: K_c = 0.45; Development stage: K_c = 0.7; Mid-season stage: K_c = 0.9; and Late season stage: K_c = 0.75 (Allen et al., 1998)

Irrigation water was applied based on the calculated ET_c values and adjusted according to the treatment depths (100%, 85%, and 70% ET_c).

2.6 Crop Management

Standard agricultural practices were followed throughout the growing season. Weeds were controlled through regular hand weeding to minimize competition for water and nutrients. Pest and disease management was implemented with minimal chemical interventions when necessary.

2.7 Data Collection and Measurements

The following parameters were measured throughout the growing period:

2.7.1 Days to first flowering

The number of days from sowing to the appearance of the first flower on each plant was recorded as days to first flowering. Ten tagged plants per plot were monitored, and the average days to flowering were calculated for each treatment.

2.7.2 Fruit length

Fruit length was measured using a digital caliper, with measurements taken from the base to the tip of the fruit. An average of 10 randomly selected fruits per plot at each harvest was recorded to determine the mean fruit length for each treatment.

2.7.3 Fruit diameter

Fruit diameter was measured at the middle portion of the fruit using a digital caliper, with an average of 10 randomly selected fruits per plot used to calculate the mean fruit diameter for each treatment.

2.7.4 Yield

Total yield was determined by weighing all marketable fruits harvested from each plot. The yield was converted to kilograms per hectare (kg/ha) based on the plot size. Harvesting was conducted at three-day intervals when the fruits reached commercial maturity, ensuring accurate yield assessment across all treatments.

2.8 Statistical Analysis

The collected data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using statistical software SPSS V20. The significance of treatment effects was determined at $p \leq 0.05$. Treatment means were separated using Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Days to flowering

The timing of flowering in cucumber showed varying responses to irrigation treatments (Table 1). While irrigation depth did not demonstrate statistically significant effects on days to first flowering, a clear trend emerged showing delayed flowering under deficit irrigation conditions. Plants under D_3 took 29 days to flower compared to 28 days under D_1 . This delay can be attributed to the plant's physiological response to water stress, where limited water availability typically reduces cell expansion and delays developmental processes (Cantuário *et al.*, 2021).

Irrigation intervals showed more pronounced effects on flowering time, with statistical significance observed between different intervals. The longest interval (I_3) resulted in delayed flowering (29 days) compared to daily irrigation (I_1 , 28 days). This finding aligns with research by Zakka *et al.* (2020), who reported that extended intervals between irrigation events can disrupt the normal progression of reproductive development in cucurbits.

The interaction between irrigation depth and interval revealed interesting patterns, with treatment $D_1 \times I_1$ resulting in the earliest flowering at 27 days, while $D_3 \times I_3$ delayed flowering to 30 days. These results suggest that both water availability and timing of application play crucial roles in determining the onset of reproductive development. The observed delay under water stress conditions can be explained by the plant's adaptive responses, where limited resources are initially directed toward vegetative survival rather than reproductive development.

3.2 Fruit length

Fruit length demonstrated significant responses to both irrigation depth and interval treatments. Interestingly, D_2 produced longer fruits (13.96 cm) compared to both D_1 (13.41 cm) and D_3 (13.50 cm). This unexpected response suggests that mild water stress might trigger compensatory mechanisms in fruit development, possibly through enhanced resource allocation to fewer developing fruits.

Daily irrigation (I_1) consistently resulted in longer fruits (14.17 cm) compared to I_2 (13.38 cm) and I_3 (13.24 cm). This finding supports the importance of maintaining consistent soil moisture during fruit development, as reported by Coussement *et al.* (2021), who demonstrated the critical role of sustained cell turgor pressure in fruit elongation.

The interaction effects were particularly noteworthy, with treatments $D_1 \times I_1$ and $D_2 \times I_1$ producing the longest fruits (14.50 cm and 14.47 cm respectively). These results corroborate with findings by Bello *et al.* (2023) and Çebi *et al.* (2018), who reported optimal fruit length in cucumber under well-watered conditions with frequent irrigation. The reduced fruit length under extended irrigation intervals can be attributed to periods of water deficit affecting cell expansion during critical fruit development stages (Coussement *et al.*, 2021).

3.3 Fruit diameter

The response of fruit diameter to irrigation treatments revealed some unexpected patterns. Deficit irrigation (D_3) produced significantly larger fruit diameter (44.50 mm) compared to full irrigation (43.08 mm). This counterintuitive response might be explained by compensatory mechanisms where reduced fruit number under water stress conditions leads to greater resource allocation to remaining fruits. Similar compensatory responses have been documented in other cucurbit species under moderate water stress.

Irrigation intervals showed on significant effect on fruit diameter, with values ranging from 43.93 mm to 44.04 mm across different intervals. This suggests that fruit radial expansion might be less sensitive to irrigation frequency compared to fruit elongation. The interaction

Table 1: Reproductive and yield components of cucumber under different irrigation regimes

Treatments	Days to Flowering	First Fruit length (cm)	Fruit Diameter (mm)	Yield (kg/ha)
Depth (D)				
D_1	27.75 a	13.41 a	43.08 a	8738.79 a
D_2	28.22 a	13.96 b	44.23 ab	7801.37 a
D_3	29.08 a	13.50 ab	44.50 b	8025.20 a

Comment [An4]: Where do I see this data? In which table or figure?

Comment [An5]: Suggestion, call this with the percentage of the treatment to facilitate interpretation at the time of reading.

Comment [An6]: How much would be considered optimal?

Comment [An7]: It is recommended to always call the table that represents these data.

Comment [An8]: Call Table 1

Comment [An9]: It is recommended to place 100% ETC

Interval (I)				
I ₁	28.14 a	14.17 b	44.04 a	8043.20 a
I ₂	28.22 a	13.38 a	43.94 a	8281.18 a
I ₃	28.69 b	13.24 a	43.93 a	8147.86 a
Treatment (D × I)				
D ₁ ×I ₁	26.92 a	14.50 a	44.48 ab	9774.54 a
D ₁ ×I ₂	27.75 ab	12.54 c	41.76 c	7051.68 b
D ₁ ×I ₃	28.58 ab	12.87 bc	42.64 bc	9258.81 ab
D ₂ ×I ₁	28.00 ab	14.47 a	43.74 abc	7144.88 b
D ₂ ×I ₂	28.75 abc	13.88 ab	45.11 a	9232.36 ab
D ₂ ×I ₃	27.92 bc	13.18 bc	43.75 abc	6812.01 b
D ₃ ×I ₁	29.50 bc	13.42 bc	43.99 abc	7464.05 ab
D ₃ ×I ₂	28.17 c	13.51 abc	44.43 ab	8228.14 ab
D ₃ ×I ₃	29.58 c	13.57 ab	45.11 a	8403.08 ab

Note: Means followed by the same letter(s) within columns are not significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$.

analysis revealed that treatments D₂ × I₂ and D₃ × I₃ produced fruits with the largest diameter (45.11 mm), while D₁ × I₂ resulted in the smallest (41.76 mm).

These findings challenge conventional expectations about the relationship between water availability and fruit size. The enhanced fruit diameter under deficit irrigation might be explained through several physiological mechanisms, such as increased concentration of osmolytes under water stress conditions, modified source-sink relationships favoring fewer but larger fruits, and altered hormonal balance affecting fruit cell expansion patterns.

3.4 Yield

Total yield responses provided important insights into the practical implications of different irrigation regimes. Although not statistically significant, full irrigation (D₁) produced the highest yield (8,738.79 kg/ha) compared to deficit irrigation treatments. This trend aligns with the general understanding that optimal water availability supports maximum productive potential in cucumber.

The relationship between irrigation interval and yield was less pronounced, with yields ranging from 8,043.20 kg/ha to 8,281.18 kg/ha across different intervals. This suggests that cucumber plants can adapt to different irrigation frequencies when total water volume is maintained, possibly through adjustments in root water uptake patterns and physiological adaptation mechanisms.

The interaction effects revealed that D₁ × I₁ produced the highest yield (9,774.54 kg/ha), while D₂I₃ resulted in the lowest (6,812.01 kg/ha). This substantial yield difference (approximately 30%) demonstrates the cumulative impact of both water availability and timing on overall productivity. These findings align with research by Zakka *et al.* (2020), who reported optimal cucumber yields under well-watered, frequent irrigation regimes.

Comment [An10]: Table 1

Comment [An11]: It must be explained for which of the factors if it is depth or interval.

The yield reduction under extended intervals and deficit irrigation can be attributed to several factors including reduced photosynthetic efficiency during periods of water stress decreased fruit set and increased abortion under water-limited conditions.

3.5 Integration of Findings and Practical Implications

The interactions observed between irrigation depth and interval provide valuable insights for irrigation management in cucumber production. While some quality parameters (fruit diameter) showed positive responses to deficit irrigation, the overall yield data suggests that maintaining adequate soil moisture through full irrigation with frequent application ($D_1 \times I_1$) optimizes productive potential.

These findings have important implications for irrigation management in regions with limited water resources. Where water conservation is necessary, moderate deficit irrigation (D_2) with daily application (I_1) might offer an acceptable compromise, as it maintained relatively good fruit quality parameters while reducing water use by 15%. However, the significant yield reduction under severe deficit irrigation (D_3) suggests that this level of water stress might not be economically viable for commercial production.

The varying responses of different parameters to irrigation treatments highlight the complexity of plant-water relationships in cucumber. While reproductive timing (flowering) and fruit elongation showed sensitivity to both water availability and timing, fruit diameter demonstrated unexpected resilience and even positive responses to water stress. These differential responses suggest the possibility of targeting specific quality parameters through carefully managed irrigation regimes.

The results also emphasize the importance of considering local environmental conditions in irrigation management. The tropical climate of Ogbomosho, with its high evaporative demand and variable rainfall patterns, likely influenced the crop's responses to different irrigation treatments. The findings therefore provide particularly relevant guidance for cucumber production in similar tropical environments.

4. CONCLUSION

This study investigated the effects of different irrigation regimes on cucumber reproductive and yield components in Ogbomosho, Nigeria. The findings revealed complex relationships between irrigation depth and interval in determining crop performance. While full irrigation (100% ETc) with daily application produced the highest yield (9,774.54 kg/ha) and earliest flowering (26.92 days), some quality parameters showed unexpected positive responses to deficit irrigation. Notably, moderate deficit irrigation (85% ETc) produced longer fruits, and severe deficit irrigation (70% ETc) resulted in larger fruit diameter, suggesting potential physiological adaptations under water stress conditions. However, the substantial yield reduction under severe deficit irrigation (D_3) and extended irrigation intervals (I_3) indicates that these water conservation strategies may not be economically viable for commercial production. The research demonstrates that while cucumber can maintain certain quality parameters under water stress, optimal productivity requires adequate and frequent irrigation. These findings provide valuable guidance for irrigation management in tropical regions, particularly where water resources are constrained.

REFERENCES

1. Abegunrin, T. P., Awe, G. O., Idowu, D. O., Onigbogi, O. O., & Onofua, O. E. (2013). Effect of Kitchen Wastewater Irrigation on Soil Properties and Growth of Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*). *Journal of Soil Science and Environmental Management*, 4(7), 139–145. <https://doi.org/10.5897/JSSEM2013.0412>
2. Adeyolanu, D. T., & Okelola, O. E. (2024). Irrigation Water Management and Food Security in Nigeria. *Research Journal of Agricultural Economics and Development*, 3(2), 117–132. <https://doi.org/10.52589/AJAFS-PFPU1QN7>
3. Allen, R. G., Pereira, L. S., Raes, D., & Smith, M. (1998). *Crop evapotranspiration—Guidelines for computing crop water requirements*. Food and Agriculture Organization.
4. Aparna, Skarzyńska, A., Piłader, W., & Pawełkowicz, M. (2023). Impact of Climate Change on Regulation of Genes Involved in Sex Determination and Fruit Production in Cucumber. *Plants*, 12(14), 2651. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants12142651>
5. Arshad, I. (2017). Effect of Water Stress on the Growth and Yield of Greenhouse Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). *PSM Biological Research PSM Biol. Res*, 2(2), 63–67.
6. Asmamaw, D., Desse, M., Tilahun, S., Adgo, E., Nyssen, J., Walraevens, K., Janssens, P., & Cornelis, W. (2021). Deficit irrigation as a sustainable option for improving water productivity in Sub-Saharan Africa: the case of

Comment [An12]: It is necessary to cite the table that will be analyzed for this section. It is suggested to separate it into two tables for better interpretation.

Comment [An13]: It is recommended to remove

- Ethiopia. A Critical Review. *Environmental Research Communications*, 3(10), 102001. <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu21-4154>
7. Bello, A. S., Huda, S., Chen, Z.-H., Khalid, M. F., Alsafran, M., & Ahmed, T. (2023). Evaluation of Nitrogen and Water Management Strategies to Optimize Yield in Open Field Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) Production. *Horticulturae*, 9(12), 1336. <https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae9121336>
 8. Cantuário, F. S. de, Salomão, L. C., Curvêlo, C. R. da S., Guimarães, J. de J., Luz, J. M. Q., Ferreira, L. L., & Pereira, A. I. A. (2021). Growth and yield traits of pickling cucumber plants to measure the impact of different irrigation management practices. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 15(2), 271–277. <https://doi.org/10.21475/ajcs.21.15.02.p2972>
 9. Çebi, U. K., Çakir, R., Altintas, S., & Ozdemir, A. G. (2018). BIOMETRICAL AND BIOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF FRUITS OF MINI CUCUMBER PLANTS GROWN UNDER VARIOUS IRRIGATION REGIMES IN AN UNHEATED GREENHOUSE. *Acta Scientiarum Polonorum Hortorum Cultus*, 17(5), 199–212. <https://doi.org/10.24326/asphc.2018.5.18>
 10. Champaneri, D. D., Desai, K. D., Sharma, V., Madane, D. A., & More, S. J. (2024). A synoptic review of deficit irrigation methods: sustainable water-saving strategies in vegetable cultivation. *Water Supply*, 24(9), 3132–3147. <https://doi.org/10.2166/ws.2024.195>
 11. Coussement, J. R., Villers, S. L. Y., Nelissen, H., Inzé, D., & Steppe, K. (2021). Turgor-time controls grass leaf elongation rate and duration under drought stress. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 44(5), 1361–1378.
 12. Liu, H., Yin, C., Gao, Z., & Hou, L. (2021). Evaluation of cucumber yield, economic benefit and water productivity under different soil matric potentials in solar greenhouses in North China. *Agricultural Water Management*, 243, 106442. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2020.106442>
 13. Magdalene J., T., Arul, C., Respina B., M., & Parthipan, V. (2024). Sustainability of Irrigation Practices and Water-Pricing Tools in Water-Stressed Tropical Countries: A Way Forward for Sustainable Water Governance. *AgroEnvironmental Sustainability*, 2(4), 216–233. <https://doi.org/10.59983/s2024020407>
 14. Odhiambo, J., & Aguyoh, J. (2022). Soil moisture levels affect growth, flower production and yield of cucumber. *Agricultura Tropica et Subtropica*, 55, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.2478/ats-2022-0001>
 15. Ogunbode, T. O., & Ifabiyi, I. P. (2019). Relationship between Evapotranspiration and water Availability in the Tropical Regions: A Case Study of a South Western City in Nigeria. *Archives of Current Research International*, 16(4), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.9734/acri/2019/v16i430097>
 16. Parkash, V., Singh, S., Deb, S. K., Ritchie, G. L., & Wallace, R. W. (2021). Effect of deficit irrigation on physiology, plant growth, and fruit yield of cucumber cultivars. *Plant Stress*, 1(February), 100004. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stress.2021.100004>
 17. Shani, B. B., & Musa, A. (2019). Evaluation of the Effects of Deficit Irrigation on Water Use Efficiency and Cucumber Growth Under Greenhouse Management, Nigeria. *Journal of Agripreneurship and Sustainable Development*, 2(2), 119–124.
 18. Soujanya, B., & Gurjar, D. (2024). Water-efficient crop production: Agronomic strategies for drought-prone areas. *International Journal of Research in Agronomy*, 7(12), 187–196. <https://doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2024.v7.i12c.2121>
 19. Taghvaeian, S., Andales, A. A., Allen, L. N., Kisekka, I., O'Shaughnessy, S. A., Porter, D. O., Sui, R., Irmak, S., Fulton, A., & Aguilar, J. (2020). Irrigation Scheduling for Agriculture in the United States: The Progress Made and the Path Forward. *Transactions of the ASABE*, 63(5), 1603–1618. <https://doi.org/10.13031/trans.14110>
 20. Zakka, E. J., Onwuegbunam, N. E., Dare, A., Onwuegbunam, D. O., & Emeghara, U. U. (2020). Yield, water use and water productivity of drip-irrigated cucumber in response to irrigation depths and intervals in Kaduna, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Technology*, 39(2), 613–620. <https://doi.org/10.4314/njt.v39i2.33>

