

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_131054
Title of the Manuscript:	A Proposed SET-CHC-Based Strategy for Educational Therapists addressing Non-Medical Challenges in Children with Kabuki Syndrome Type 2
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article shows very well the state of the topic being treated in and intends to find a suitable solution to improve it. The authors have created a good and solid structure with a clear task. The language used and the procedures applied are clear to the reader. The work's topic is significant and interesting because it is oriented to life protection and contributes to improving the field it deals with. The topic is actual and interesting. The derived conclusions and recommendations are correct and valuable. The literature used is relevant and current.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is overly long. We suggest that the authors shorten it, as explained in the reviewed article. Suggested alternative title is: Strategy for Educational Therapists in Kabuki Syndrome Type 2 Children	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is thorough, but it should include the paper's purpose.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The clearly defined purpose of the paper should be included in the introduction. Everything else is perfect, comprehensive, and precise.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	We have no additional suggestions. References are sufficient and recent.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is.	
Optional/General comments	After a minor revision, we anticipate this article will be excellent, solid, and suitable for publication. Once corrected, the paper will contribute to the journal's scope and be a valuable source for further research and study in the targeted area of interest.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>NO</u> (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marinela Scepanovic
Department, University & Country	University of Belgrade, Serbia