

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_130693
Title of the Manuscript:	Global developmental delay and the impact of overindulgence: A case series on global developmental delay, the excessive pampering, and the consequences of overindulgence in children
Type of the Article	CASE SERIES

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The lack of a clear causal connection in this case series raises concerns about its scientific value and relevance to the broader scientific community. Without stronger evidence or clear patterns, it is difficult to determine its potential contribution to advancing knowledge in the field.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	In my opinion, NO. Based on the reviewed cases in this series, it is evident that the chief complaints of paediatric patients should be evaluated with a broader perspective to establish strong causative links with developmental delays. For instance, while cerebral palsy in a 3-year-old (Case 1) has been attributed to factors such as overindulgence or over-pampering, there is insufficient evidence to substantiate this as a primary cause. Similarly, in Case 2, where a 3-year-old was diagnosed with muscular dystrophy, multiple potential aetiologies should be considered beyond parenting style. Across all cases, the limited age range of 2–4 years poses challenges in drawing definitive conclusions about parenting style as a causative factor. A more comprehensive study focusing on patients aged 14–18 years would provide a better opportunity to analyse parenting styles over an extended developmental period (from ages 1–13) and their correlation with adolescent behaviour. The complexity of diagnosis such as cerebral palsy and muscular dystrophy suggests that multiple biological and environmental factors are likely involved, necessitating a more nuanced investigation.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient but, the citation from reference 4 is missing in this case series and should be included to ensure completeness and proper attribution. Ensuring accurate and complete referencing is essential for maintaining academic integrity, enhancing the credibility of the findings, and providing readers with the necessary context for further exploration of the cited work. This omission should be addressed promptly to uphold the standards of a reputable journal.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - More key words should be added. - Clear link with casualty. - Strong Evidences of parenting style result in diagnosis. - Consent form from parents are required to upload. - Plagiarism report needs to be uploaded with manuscript. - References should be in sequence and cited properly. - More detail discussion of case series should be added. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Neha Suratiya
Department, University & Country	India