

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_129915
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidemiological, Etiological, Clinical, and Therapeutic Aspects of Pleurisy in Children at the Pediatric Department of the University Hospital Center of Libreville
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The word 'pleurisy' may be replaced by 'pleural effusion'	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Aims of the study not mentioned. Objective is to be changed	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The study is about pleural effusion, not about pleurisy	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient but not recent	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1.The sentence about cytological analysis is unclear. 2. USG revealing pleurisy is a weird statement. 3.Tables are absent 4. Demographic variables not mentioned 5. Statistics part needs more detailing	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Krishnakumar E V
Department, University & Country	Amala Institute of Medical Sciences, India