## **Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_131137
Title of the Manuscript:	Adolescents' Perspective of Parental Communication on Sexual and Reproductive Health Matters
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <a href="https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/">https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/</a>
Benefits for Reviewers: <a href="https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers">https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers</a>

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

# **Review Form 3**

## PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment  Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This paper gives essential insights into the communication gap between parents and adolescents regarding sexual and reproductive health (SRH), which is an important part of teenage well-being. The study adds to the expanding body of research emphasizing the need of parental education and structured intervention programs by giving importance to the barriers, attitudes, and factors that influence SRH conversations. Given the prevalence of misinformation, cultural taboos, and low parental engagement in SRH conversations, the findings highlight the significance of evidence-based measures for improving parent-adolescent communication. This study is especially important for policymakers, educators, and healthcare professionals looking to create focused interventions that provide both parents and adolescents with the knowledge and skills they need to make educated decisions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title, "Adolescents' Perspective of Parental Communication on Sexual and Reproductive Health Matters," is clear but could be slightly refined for better impact.  Suggestion "Parental Communication on Sexual and Reproductive Health: An Adolescent Perspective in Kerala, India" This title can be given as emphasizes the geographical context and study focus.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul> <li>The abstract effectively summarizes key findings, but some minor modifications can be done if possible.</li> <li>SRH can be defined clearly in the first instance to avoid redundancy later.</li> <li>A few key statistical findings can be provided for impact.</li> <li>The conclusion should give importance to the need for interventions and parental engagement strategies.</li> </ul>	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes. However, some outdated references (pre-2020) can be supplemented with newer studies, especially regarding parent-adolescent communication strategies, if possible.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Manimugdha Medhi
Department, University & Country	Assam Down Town University, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)