Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_130759
Title of the Manuscript:	Socio-Demographic Factors and Health Behaviors as Predictors of Anemia Status Among Pregnant Women: A Cross-Sectional Study at a Regional Hospital in Ghana
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/ Benefits for Reviewers: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Anaemia in expectant women remains a severe concern that has not been satisfactorily addressed in numerous nations, despite the publication of numerous articles on the subject. Consequently, the findings presented in this article may be the most recent empirically relevant information for a variety of groups.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable for its content	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is adequate to provide a summary of the content; however, the aim section should enhance its writing by employing sentence case.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	This manuscript can be considered scientifically valid due to the presentation of a variety of analysis results.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The number of references employed is adequate; however, it would be preferable to replace references to systematic reviews that were conducted a decade ago with the most recent findings.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English writing in this article is consistent with academic communication; however, the research subject is not necessarily included in the sentence in certain instances.	
Optional/General comments	PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Astri Nurdiana
Department, University & Country	The University of Singaperbangsa Karawang, Indonesia

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)