

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_131359
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis, Characterization And Biological Activity of New Pyrimidine Schiff Base And Its Cu(II), Ni(II) Complexes
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

**Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b> <b>Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>The introduction is toll and needed to be rewrite to focus on the manuscript points. The biological activity help as to know the new drugs and the good compound for this target so the manuscript should tell as the concentration using not the hundred percentage.</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>This title is suitable</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>The abstract should rewrite in the scientific way. and the word (Iron nitrate) in the line four should be metal nitrate.</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The manuscript scientifically is correct but the result and dissection classification should be rewrite in the manner of on two three	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The reference is sufficient	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language is weak specially for the abstract and introduction	
<b><u>Optional/General</u> comments</b>	<b>The manuscript is good but needed rewrite</b>	

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Hussam Z.Naji</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Baghdad University, Iraq</b>