

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_131091
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDIES ON THE TRADITIONAL AND PHYTOCHEMICAL USE OF KIGELIA AFRICANA (LAM.) BENTH LEAVES HARVESTED IN MALI
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript adds knowledge on phytochemical and justification of traditional use of medicinal plants which supports ethnopharmacological use of the plants in treatment of diseases. It also provides information on potential sources of drugs.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I suggest the title to read: STUDIES ON THE PHYTOCHEMICAL COMPOSITION AND TRADITIONAL USES OF KIGELIA AFRICANA (LAM.) BENTH LEAVES HARVESTED IN MALI	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is not comprehensive. There is need to add knowledge gap in the abstract. The author has not justified the reasons why the research is being done.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The author needs to edit the manuscript. The subtitles are continuous with the text hence the need to arrange the work correctly. The author should also check the spacing in the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language use is of good quality.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript is to be edited and arranged correctly	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dennis Jack Opwoko
Department, University & Country	Mount Kenya University, Kenya