

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_130605
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of storage time of Phaseolus lunatus (L.) seeds on germination and morphological traits
Type of the Article	

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is important for scientific community, in particular those who deal with seed/crop management. Legumes is one of many important foodcrops. This manuscript is contributing to knowledge on <i>P. lunatus</i> in specific site (in this case is in Ivory Coast). With some revisions/corrections, this manuscript will be one important reference for similar reseaches with different commodities and environments.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title of the article is suitable. However, it would better if the information on location where the study was conducted (..in Daloa, Ivory Coast).	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract of the article can be more comprehensive if research limitations were added. Those limitations will be recommendations for further research.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The manuscript is scientifically correct.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references reviewed are sufficeinet but not adequate in terms of uptodateness. Only four out 22 (18.18% literatures used is recent (published in the last five years). Add some recent literatures will improve the quality of the manuscript.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The study didn't specifically mention the role of seed management of P. Lunatus to contribute to global food security as it was mentioned in Introduction.</li> <li>2. It would be better if the keywords were written alphabetically</li> <li>3. Figure 1. The picture and information on M1 and M2 are reverse.</li> <li>4. No. 3.1.1. was written twice. Please fix it</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Iman Santosa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Indonesia</b>