

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_130849
Title of the Manuscript:	MATERNAL AND FETAL DEMOGRAPHIC PREDICTORS OF FETAL MACROSOMIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research topic is quite important as foetal macrosomia can lead to several morbidities. So finding out something which unknown in this field will definitely provide some evidence based solutions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<u>Suggestion:</u> Methodology – 1. Why the study design is retrospective cross sectional study? A hospital based study can not be a cross sectional study, as it cannot give prevalence. So the prevalence of macrosomia that is mentioned in the manuscript is actually the “Proportion”. 2. Sample size need to be clearly mentioned, it is very confusing in the manuscript. 3. Sample size calculation need to be mentioned.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<u>Suggestion:</u> Methodology – 1. Why the study design is retrospective cross sectional study? A hospital based study cannot be a cross sectional study, as it cannot give prevalence. So the prevalence of macrosomia that is mentioned in the manuscript is actually the “Proportion”. 2. Sample size need to be clearly mentioned, it is very confusing in the manuscript. 3. Sample size calculation need to be mentioned. Discussion – 1. 8 th Paragraph in discussion has spelling mistake; foetal is written as “fatal”.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient. But <u>NOT</u> as per Vancouver style, which is mostly followed by the journals	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Methodology can be made more clear and understandable (few changes needed) The study design needs a proper explanation by the author.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anjali Singh
Department, University & Country	United Institute of Medical Sciences, India