Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_130223
Title of the Manuscript:	Extraluminal Migration of a Catfish Bone in a 35-Year-Old Male Mimicking Neck Abscess: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Relevant outcome of Foreign body neck that must be known. There is a need to be aware of this possibility as a fate among patients with Foreign body(FB) neck especially in case of FB throat when it is a fish bone. It highlights the complication likely when diagnosis is missed and patient presents later with vague symptoms.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Can be made brief. Could avoid "Catfish bone and 35 year old male" Extraluminal Migration of a Catfish Bone in a 35-Year-Old Male Mimicking Neck Abscess: A Case Report Extraluminal Migration of a Foreign body from upper digestive tract: A Case Report	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Late presentation and missed diagnosis must be stressed on. Elaborate on the statement -The report highlights the importance of early diagnosis and timely intervention to prevent severe complications. The diagnosis was quite late. What severe complications when FB already migrated. The formation of the neck abscess was a way of throwing out the FB which had migrated. Extraluminal migration is particularly challenging to diagnose, as symptoms are often nonspecific and imaging findings can be subtle. This statement may be added in the abstract. Keywords Ultrasound & endoscopy maybe reconsidered. It would be better to mention foreign body throat in place of foreign body	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes but the High index of suspicion and unavailability of required imaging were challenges. The X-ray picture if available can be shown with an explanation of why this Fish bone wasn't seen?? Is Catfish bone usually missedreference maybe added if available. Was X-ray taken 3 years before and was FB seen then Details of endoscopywhether flexible or rigid maybe stressed. Was the FB missed? USG findings: Was the presence of FB mentioned or was it only obtained after the surgery. Surgery details of where was the FB in which plane in the neck maybe mentioned Did the surgery finding coincide with USG detailssuperficial? In this case, the catfish bone migrated into the parapharyngeal space and eroded the overlying tissues. Parapharyngeal space is much above as compared to where the abscess is mentioned that is Right supraclavicular region. A picture of the neck if available could clarify this.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Reference 4 seems incomplete Reference 1 and 2 have 2 cases from same authors, could use any 1. The facts cited with references need clarity. Reference on Gold standard for diagnosing FB especially fish bone maybe added.	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Needs to be improved at various places; regions with fish-rich diets -its regions where fish is the main ingredient in the diet. otorhinolaryngological clinic for follow-up - otorhinolaryngology clinic.	
Optional/General comments	A relevant case report, which may be modified to get more clarity and bring out important aspects with necessary corrections.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sapna Sreedharan Nambiar
Department, University & Country	Kerala University of Health Sciences, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)