## **Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_129949
Title of the Manuscript:	Community Perceptions of Speeding and Road Safety in Agona Swedru, Ghana: Insights for Evidence-Based Policy and Practice
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript offers valuable insights into community perceptions of speeding and road safety in Agona Swedru, Ghana, a region with significant challenges related to road traffic accidents (RTAs). By combining qualitative methods and thematic analysis, it highlights the socio-economic and cultural factors influencing risky behaviors such as speeding. The study's recommendations for an integrated approach to road safety provide a framework for policymakers and stakeholders to address similar issues in other low- and middle-income countries.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title, "Community Perceptions of Speeding and Road Safety in Agona Swedru, Ghana: Insights for Evidence-Based Policy and Practice," is suitable as it clearly communicates the scope and purpose of the study.  Alternative Title Suggestion:  "Understanding Community Perceptions of Speeding and Road Safety in Ghana: A Case Study of Agona Swedru."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is clear and provides a concise summary of the study, including its objectives, methodology, key findings, and recommendations. However, it could benefit from specifying the broader relevance of the study to road safety policies beyond the local context.  Suggestion: Add a sentence highlighting the applicability of the findings to other regions with similar challenges	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically sound, with well-documented data collection and analysis methods. It provides a robust qualitative approach to understanding community perceptions, aligning with current best practices in research. The references used are relevant, and the findings are consistent with existing literature.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are adequate and relevant, with citations from recent years (up to 2023). However, including more recent studies or global reports on road safety and community perceptions from similar contexts could enhance the manuscript's depth.  Suggestion: Consider including additional references from recent WHO reports or studies on socioeconomic influences on road safety.	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

## **Review Form 3**

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is clear and suitable for scholarly communication. Minor grammatical improvements and proofreading for flow and consistency may be beneficial, but overall, the manuscript is well-written.	
Optional/General comments	No ethical issues were identified. The manuscript mentions that ethical clearance was obtained, and participant confidentiality was maintained, which aligns with ethical research standards.	
	No competing interest issues are evident in the manuscript.	
	No evidence of plagiarism was detected based on the content provided.	

## PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	M S Saran
Department, University & Country	KSCSTE, NATPAC, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)