# **Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_129933
Title of the Manuscript:	21ST CENTURY TEACHING, DIGITAL READINESS, AND MOTIVATION OF TEACHING OF FILIPINO SUBJECT IN THE NEW SEQUENTIAL DESIGN
Type of the Article	

# PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (/ part in the manuscript. his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		,
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

### EW NORMAL: AN EXPLANATORY

#### (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that ipt. It is mandatory that authors should write ere)

# **Review Form 3**

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Reviewer evaluation of the article	
	About the authors' research	
	The aim of the study is well-defined, focusing on the relationship between 21st-century	
	teaching skills, digital readiness, and motivation among senior high school teachers.	
	The use of an explanatory sequential mixed-method design ensures both quantitative and	
	qualitative insights, strengthening the validity of findings. With 400 participants, the study has strong quantitative backing, making statistical analyses	
	robust.	
	The study addresses a critical issue in education, especially in the context of increasing digital	
	integration in teaching.	
	Critique and Suggestions for Improvement	
	The study mentions "stratified random sampling" for quantitative data but "purposive sampling"	
	for qualitative data. While these techniques are appropriate in their respective contexts, the lack of	
	clarity in participant selection for mixed-method integration may raise questions about	
	representativeness. Suggestions: provide more details about how stratified groups were determined and how	
	purposive sampling participants were selected to ensure alignment between quantitative and qualitative	
	data.	
	The study does not specify how the questionnaires were developed or validated. There is no	
	mention of pilot testing or reliability measures such as Cronbach's alpha.	
	Suggestions: include information about the development, reliability, and validity of the	
	instruments used.	
	The results emphasize the "high" level of variables and their "significant relationships" without	
	discussing potential limitations, outliers, or contradictory findings. Suggestions: discuss limitations such as biases in self-reported data, variability in digital	
	readiness across subgroups, or regional disparities.	
	The study does not contextualize its findings within broader educational or cultural frameworks	
	of Region 12.	
	Suggestions: relate findings to specific challenges or opportunities in Region 12's educational	
	system to enhance practical implications.	
	The qualitative portion is underexplored, with limited discussion of the themes identified	
	through interviews and focus groups. Suggestions: provide a richer narrative on qualitative findings, supported by direct quotes from	
	participants to give depth to the analysis.	
	The study concludes that the variables significantly impact motivation but does not account for	
	external factors that could influence motivation, such as socioeconomic conditions or institutional	
	support.	
	Suggestions: acknowledge external variables and their potential influence on the results to	
	avoid overgeneralization.	
	While statistical results are presented (e.g., F-value, R-value), their implications are not fully explored.	
	Suggestions: explain how the statistical findings translate into practical strategies for improving	
	teacher motivation.	
	Recommendations:	
	Incorporate a discussion on how varying levels of access to digital tools may affect teacher	
	motivation and readiness.	
	Suggest how findings can inform regional or national policies in teacher training and resource	
	allocation.	
	Highlight gaps, such as the need for longitudinal studies to observe changes over time or comparative studies across regions.	
<u> </u>		

# **Review Form 3**

# PART 2:

		Author's comment (if a highlight that part in the write his/her feedback h
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Nataliia Soroko
Department, University & Country	Institute of Information Technologies and Learning Tools of NAES of Ukraine, Ukraine

(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should k here)