

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_129933
Title of the Manuscript:	21ST CENTURY TEACHING, DIGITAL READINESS, AND MOTIVATION OF TEACHING OF FILIPINO SUBJECT IN THE NEW NORMAL: AN EXPLANATORY SEQUENTIAL DESIGN
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	While the manuscript explores a timely and important topic concerning 21st-century teaching, digital readiness, and teacher motivation, it requires significant improvement in clarity, alignment with academic standards, and coherence in its argumentation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title effectively reflects the scope of the study, but it is verbose. A more concise title could be: "21st-Century Teaching, Digital Readiness, and Motivation: Insights from Filipino High School Teachers"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract summarizes the study well but is overly dense and includes unnecessary details. It should: Briefly outline the background, objectives, methodology, key findings, and implications. Avoid excessive statistical details.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically sound but needs improvement in presenting its theoretical frameworks. Recommendations: Clarify how Self-Determination Theory and TPACK explicitly informed the study design. Discuss potential limitations of correlational findings. Avoid repetitive points across sections.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are mostly recent and relevant. However: Include more international studies to contextualize findings. Verify the formatting aligns with the journal's citation style. Suggested Additions: Studies focusing on digital readiness in other regions or comparative analyses. Broader work on the integration of technology and teacher motivation frameworks.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is readable but contains frequent grammatical errors and awkward phrasing. Suggestions: Employ professional editing for academic English. Simplify technical jargon and ensure consistency in terminologies (e.g., "motivation in teaching Filipino").	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mila Malekolkalami
Department, University & Country	Tarbiat Modares University, Iran