

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_129933
Title of the Manuscript:	21ST CENTURY TEACHING, DIGITAL READINESS, AND MOTIVATION OF TEACHING OF FILIPINO SUBJECT IN THE NEW NORMAL: AN EXPLANATORY SEQUENTIAL DESIGN
Type of the Article	Research

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript contributes to understanding how 21st-century teaching approaches, digital readiness, and motivation impact teaching practices in a specific regional and cultural context. Its findings provide insights into how Filipino teachers adapt to technological advances and the challenges of teaching in the new normal. Furthermore, the study bridges gaps in research by emphasizing the relationship between digital readiness and teacher motivation, offering actionable implications for education policy and teacher training programs. This work can serve as a foundation for further research into integrating digital tools and pedagogy globally.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title is precise and descriptive. However, a suggestion for refinement to make it more concise: <i>"Teaching Motivation in the New Normal: Exploring Digital Readiness and 21st-Century Pedagogy for Filipino Senior High Schools."</i>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract provides a solid overview of the research aims, methodology, and findings but could benefit from emphasizing the practical implications for educators. For clarity, consider reducing redundant phrases and elaborating on how the results can influence policy or practice.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The methodology, including the explanatory sequential mixed-method design, is appropriate for the research objectives. The data analysis methods, including regression and thematic analysis, are well-suited for examining the relationships between the studied variables.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Partially. While the references are extensive, some are outdated or less relevant to the topic. Consider including more recent and region-specific studies on digital readiness and teacher motivation. Suggested additions could be works that explore post-pandemic shifts in teaching methodologies or global comparative analyses of digital readiness.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Partially. The language is generally clear but would benefit from professional proofreading to address occasional grammar issues, verbosity, and inconsistencies in tense. For example, the phrase "teachers got highest level" could be refined for better readability.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The study provides valuable data on teacher motivation but could explore the impact of broader contextual variables, such as infrastructure and socio-economic factors, more deeply.</p> <p>Figures and tables effectively support the manuscript but should be formatted consistently according to journal standards.</p> <p>Discussion and recommendations could be expanded to provide more targeted guidance for education policymakers and practitioners.</p>	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Metin Toprak</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Istanbul Sabahattin Zaim University, Turkiye</b>