

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_128244
Title of the Manuscript:	Food Safety and Hygiene Practices of Kitchen Staff of Boarding Senior High Schools in Amenfi West Municipality, Ghana
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Include the objective and aim of the study as a subheading and itemize the specific objectives the study will address.2. There is no literature review in the work. Please do add it as subheading.3. Discuss each of the itemized objectives of the study under the literature review with current references citations starting from 2018 to 2024.4. The sampling techniques is wrong. Use cross sectional sampling techniques since you are sample different schools across the study area.5. The method of data analysis mentioned does not agree with your data presentation. Since you are using Likert scale, indicate if its 4point or 5point Likert scale under your method of data analysis.6. Your data presentation table should have column for mean(\bar{x}), and decision(remark).ie if its strongly agree, strongly disagree etc based on the Likert scale rating.7. Remove demographic data table to appendix or expunge it from the work.8. Table 2 and 3 do not conform to your method of data analysis. Present it the way you did in table 4.9. Indicate how you selected your population of the study and the reason for selecting the population of the study.10. Remove table 5 where you tested the study. You cannot test a study when you did not state the hypotheses to the study.11. Remove the graphic presentation of the data. You can only represent your data only with a table or a graph since both carry's the same information.12. Cross check your use of written English and make it to be more grammatically sound.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Omaka Onyekachi
Department, University & Country	Nigeria