

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_131064
Title of the Manuscript:	Sustainable Libraries for Books and Bytes: A Bibliometric Study of Web of Science Database
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study contribute the understanding of the dynamic within sustainable libraries research, and it could be precious for researchers to understanding the landscape of this evolving field and helpful to make decision about future research direction. Typos errors and space between words have been corrected in several places. Attentions have been highlighted in Yellow and Red.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Sustainable Libraries for Books and Bytes: A Bibliometric Study of Web of Science from 2005-2024	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Good	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No Kindly Add 2-3 latest reference from the year 2024(There are many studies have been published in 2024)	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The article is eligible for acceptance at the next level after making these corrections or changes	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anadraj K C
Department, University & Country	Madurai Kamaraj University, India