Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_131026
Title of the Manuscript:	Language Transfer and Sociolinguistic Competence in ESL: Insights from Omani Higher Education
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: <u>https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/</u>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <u>https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/</u> Benefits for Reviewers: <u>https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers</u>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback (<i>Please</i> correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	A relevant topic that examines the influence of sociolinguistic competence and language transfer on English as Second Language (ESL) learning in three institutions. The paper has attempted to explore how sociolinguistic factors impact language acquisition and the types of language transfer that occur among students. An interesting investigation that highlights sociolinguistic factors that affect positively or negatively language learning.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an	The title of the paper is suitable.	

alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract needs to be further edited for the following reasons: As a reminder, a good abstract necessarily includes the key points such as: - The reason(s) for the choice of the topic, - The research objective(s), - The research theory, - The research method(s) is missing - The main findings.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	 The subsections and structure of the manuscript are not quite appropriate. As illustrations, the introduction encompasses two subsections namely: 1.1 Sociolinguistic Competence and Its Role in ESL, 1.2 Literature Review, that need to be removed from the introduction. Actually, a good introduction consists of key elements namely: a relevant preamble, problem statement, a thesis statement, a brief account of the theory, the objectives, the research questions (that is missing in this work), the planning (that is missing in this work). On the other hand, there two many quotations in the introduction. An introduction following the observations above. More importantly, the whole work needs to be reorganized as follows: Introduction Literature Review and Theoretical Framework, 1.1 Literature Review 1.2 Theoretical Framework 2. Data Collection 2.3 Procedures of Data Analysis 3. Data Analysis 4. Discussion of the Findings 	

	References	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically correct as it emphasizes specific issues in light of a relevant sociolinguistic theory. It is scientifically robust because the a c comparative analysis of data from institutions has been presented, the perceived influence of Arabic on English as well as the impact of social background have been determined. The manuscript sounds technically robust because the data has been technically and socio-linguistically analysed.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, they are.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is.	
Optional/General comments	The researcher needs to further edit the introduction and re-organise the whole work.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (<i>if agreed with reviewer, correct</i> <i>the manuscript and highlight that part in the</i> <i>manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write</i> <i>his/her feedback here</i>)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues</u> <u>here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Servais Dieu-Donne Yedia Dadjo
Department, University & Country	University of Abomey-Calavi, Benin