

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_130938
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing Social Media Influence on Nigerian Youths' Knowledge, Awareness and Attitude Towards Anti-Female Genital Mutilation (FGM) Legislative Provisions
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic/title is appropriate and the work entirely is very contributory to the knowledge of field and will intellectually help in satisfying the long time intellectual commitments of the learners, teachers and general reading-public.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Very suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes very comprehensive but should be in italic form and has a keywords stated below. some major findings of the study have as well supposed be briefly stated in the abstract. Moreover, there is also a need to briefly state the sources used. But it is still found publishable.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Very correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	They are very sufficient and relevant to the discipline/topic treated	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, very commendable	
Optional/General comments	The paper is acceptable and publishable as examined.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kabir Mohammed
Department, University & Country	Federal University Gashua, Nigeria