

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_130857
Title of the Manuscript:	Survey of Regulatory Landscape for School Education for Third Gender Pupils in India: With Educational Board Level Case-studies from CBSE, ICSE and UP Board
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript addresses a contemporary critical issue of inclusivity in the educational system for third-gender individuals in India, a demographic often marginalized in various aspects of society, including education. It provides a thorough exploration of the legal and regulatory frameworks designed to address this issue and highlights the gaps that still exist. This manuscript contributes to the scholarly conversation on educational rights for gender-diverse individuals and offers practical recommendations to improve educational access and inclusivity.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title appears suitable, but to improve clarity, it could be rephrased as: Regulatory Landscape for School Education of Third-Gender Pupils in India: Case Studies from CBSE, ICSE, and UP Board	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a good overview, but the following revisions are suggested: 1. Opening Sentence: Instead of using “TG” repeatedly, alternate with terms like “third-gender individuals” or “gender-diverse individuals” as appropriate. 2. Second Paragraph: Reframe to avoid personal pronouns. Example: “This paper provides a brief overview of international standards to set the scene.” 3. Results Section: “The findings indicate that, despite the legal recognition of third-gender individuals and the emphasis on inclusive education in national policies, there is a lack of concrete guidelines for the implementation of inclusive education for third-gender pupils.” 4. Conclusions Section: Rephrase for clarity: “Based on the analysis, the paper identifies gaps in the regulatory framework and offers recommendations to improve the inclusivity of school education for third-gender individuals.”	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Scientific Accuracy: The manuscript is scientifically robust, with sound analysis of legal and policy frameworks. However, some sections could be rephrased for greater clarity and flow. References: The references appear sufficient, but it would be beneficial to add more recent studies related to inclusive education for gender-diverse individuals in India. Quality of Writing: The language is generally appropriate, but there are areas where clarity can be improved. Grammatical errors. Rephrasing certain sections and avoiding repetitive phrasing (like the overuse of “TG”) will make the manuscript more readable.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No suggestions	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need certain modifications and reframing	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript addresses an important and relevant issue but requires minor revisions for clarity, coherence, and refinement of some sections. The content is scientifically sound, but the manuscript would benefit from clearer phrasing and improved language.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lakshana Gupta
Department, University & Country	Himachal Pradesh University, India