

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_130654
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancing the Level of Competency and Ethical Practice as Clinical Psychologists/Therapists
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides a valuable contribution to the scientific community by addressing the critical issues of competency and ethical practices in clinical psychology. It highlights the interconnectedness of professional competence, ethical standards, and therapeutic outcomes, offering a comprehensive examination that integrates both theoretical and practical perspectives. By drawing insights from experienced practitioners across different regions, the study introduces a diverse cultural lens to the application of clinical psychology, fostering cross-cultural understanding. The findings and recommendations provide actionable strategies that can enhance both the education and ongoing development of clinicians, thereby improving the quality of mental health care worldwide.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title Enhancing the Level of Competency and Ethical Practice as Clinical Psychologists/Therapists is suitable, as it clearly conveys the central theme of the manuscript. However, a more specific or refined title could be: "Enhancing Competency and Ethical Standards in Clinical Psychology: A Cross-Cultural Perspective on Training, Practice, and Therapeutic Outcomes."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive, but it could benefit from further clarification on how the study addresses the cultural differences in ethical practices and competency across the four regions. It would also be helpful to include a brief mention of the methods used in the qualitative interviews to give readers a clearer understanding of the research approach. Suggested revision: Additionally, the study employs qualitative interviews with clinical psychologists from diverse cultural contexts to examine the challenges and solutions faced in integrating competency and ethical practice. This cultural comparison provides valuable insights into how different regions address these critical issues.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct, with a sound theoretical foundation and practical insights drawn from credible sources. The integration of both evidence-based therapeutic modalities (CBT and DBT) and ethical principles in clinical practice aligns with the current understanding of the field. However, there is room for further elaboration on the ethical dilemmas faced by practitioners in the digital age, such as data privacy and telehealth.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and relatively recent, reflecting the current trends and research in clinical psychology. However, additional references that focus on the intersection of technology and ethics in psychology, such as studies on teletherapy or data privacy in digital mental health interventions, could enhance the depth of the manuscript.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communication. The writing is clear, coherent, and appropriate for an academic audience. Minor revisions could be made for clarity and flow, but overall, the quality is commendable.	
Optional/General comments	This manuscript offers a well-rounded examination of the competencies and ethical practices required in clinical psychology, along with practical recommendations for improvement. The cross-cultural perspectives add depth and global relevance to the findings, making it a valuable resource for clinicians, educators, and researchers in the field.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thiago Rafael da Silva Moura
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Pará, Brazil